

COMP4418: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning Expressing Knowledge

Maurice Pagnucco School of Computer Science and Engineering COMP4418. Week 2



Knowledge engineering

KR is first and foremost about knowledge

- meaning and entailment
- find individuals and properties, then encode facts sufficient for entailments

Before implementing, need to understand clearly

- what is to be computed?
- why and where inference is necessary?

Example domain: university world

people, lecturers, students, courses, graduations, awards, . . .

Task: KB with appropriate entailments

- what vocabulary?
- what facts to represent?

Vocabulary

Domain-dependent predicates and functions

- main question: what are the individuals?
- here: people, academics, students, courses, ...

named individuals

alice, comp4418, facultyOfEngineering, foe, , . . .

basic types

• Person, Academic, Student, Course, ...

attributes

• year1, year2, ..., core, elective, ...

relationships

EnrolledIn, LecturerOf, . . .

functions

• lecturerOf, licOf, bestFriendOf, ...

Basic facts

Usually atomic sentences and negations

type facts
 Student(alice),
 Lecturer(barbara),
 Course(comp4418)

property facts

```
Difficult(comp4418),

¬Studious(allan),

Studies(alice,comp4418)
```

equality facts

```
barbara = lecturerInCharge(comp1234),
krr = comp4418,
bestFriendOf(allan) = alice
```

Like a simple database could store these facts in relational tables

Complex facts

```
Universal abbreviations
        \forall x. Lectures(lecturerInCharge(x), x))
        \forall x, y, z. (Lectures(x, y) \land Studies(z, y)) \rightarrow Teaches(x, z)
      possible to express without quantifiers
Incomplete knowledge
        Studies(alice, comp4418) \times Studies(allan, comp4418)
     which?
     stronger
        \forall x. Studies(x, comp9444) \vee Studies(x, comp9517)
        \exists x [Student(x) \land Studies(x, comp4418)]
     who?
     cannot write down more complete version
Closure axioms
        \forall x [\mathsf{Student}(x) \to x = \mathsf{alice} \ \forall x = \mathsf{allan} \ \forall x = \mathsf{brad} \dots]
        \forall x \forall y [Studies(x, y) \rightarrow ...]
        \forall x[x = \text{comp4418} \ \forall x = \text{alice} \ \forall x = \text{allan} \ \forall x = \text{barbara} \dots]
     limits domain of discourse
     also useful to have alice≠allan ...
```

Terminological facts

General relationships among predicates. For example:

```
    disjoint
    ∀x[Mammal(x) → ¬Reptile(x)]
```

• subtype
$$\forall x [\mathsf{Mammal}(x) \to \mathsf{Animal}(x)]$$

exhaustive

$$\forall x[\mathsf{Day}(x) \to \mathsf{Monday}(x) \lor \ldots \lor \mathsf{Sunday}(x)]$$

symmetry

$$\forall x \forall y [RelatedTo(x,y) \rightarrow RelatedTo(y,x)]$$

inverse

$$\forall x \forall y [StudentOf(x,y) \rightarrow LecturerOf(y,x)]$$

type restriction

$$\forall x \forall y [\mathsf{Studies}(x,y) \to \mathsf{Student}(x) \land \mathsf{Course}(y)]$$

full definition

```
\forall x [\mathsf{comp4418Student}(x) \equiv \mathsf{Student}(x) \land \mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp4418})] \\ \forall x [\mathsf{aiMajor}(x) \equiv \mathsf{Student}(x) \land [(\mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp4418}) \land \mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp9444})) \lor (\mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp94418}) \land \mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp9517})) \lor (\mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp9444}) \land \mathsf{Studies}(x, \mathsf{comp9517}))]]
```

Usually universally quantified conditionals or biconditionals



Entailments: 1

```
Is there a course whose Lecturer-in-Charge teaches Alice?
      \exists x [Course(x) \land Teaches(lic(x), alice)] ??
Suppose I \models KB.
      Then I \models Course(comp4418)
     Also I \models \forall x. Lectures(lecturerInCharge(x), x))
         so I \models \text{Lectures}(\text{lecturerInCharge}(\text{comp4418}), \text{comp4418}).
     Finally I \models \forall x, y, z. (Lectures(x, y) \land Studies(z, y)) \rightarrow Teaches(x, z)
         and I \models Studies(alice, comp4418)
         so I \models \text{Teaches}(\text{lecturerInCharge}(\text{comp4418}), \text{alice}).
      Thus, I \models \text{Course}(\text{comp4418}) \land \text{Teaches}(\text{lecturerInCharge}(\text{comp4418}), \text{alice}),
and so
      I \models \exists x [Course(x) \land Teaches(lecturerInCharge(x)), alice)].
Can extract identity of Lecturer-in-Charge (since I \models \text{barbara} = \text{lecturerInCharge}(\text{comp4418}))
```



Entailments: 2

Proof as sequence of sentences

```
If nobody is studying comp9444, then is there a someone studying comp9517 who is an Al major?
\forall x[Student(x) \rightarrow \neg Studies(x, comp9444)] \rightarrow \exists y[Student(y) \land Studies(y, comp9517)] ??
Note: KB \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) iff KB \cup \{\alpha\} \models \beta (Deduction Theorem)
Assume: I \models KB \cup \{ \forall x [Student(x) \rightarrow \neg Studies(x, comp9444)] \}
Show: I \models \exists v [Student(v) \land Studies(v, comp9517) \land aiMajor(v)]
Have:
                   Student(alice)
and
                   \forall x [Student(x) \rightarrow \neg Studies(x, comp9444)]
SO
                   ¬Studies(alice, comp9444)
                   \forall x. Studies(x, comp9444) \vee Studies(x, comp9517)
Also:
SO
                   Studies(alice, comp9517)
                   Studies(alice, comp4418
Also:
Finally:
                   \forall x [aiMajor(x) \equiv Student(x) \land [(Studies(x, comp4418) \land Studies(x, comp9444)) \lor
                                                         (Studies(x, comp4418) \land Studies(x, comp9517)) \lor
                                                         (Studies(x, comp9444) \land Studies(x, comp9517))]]
                   aiMaior(alice)
SO
Hence:
                   \exists v [Student(v) \land Studies(v, comp9517) \land aiMajor(v)]
```

What individuals?

Sometimes useful to reduce n-ary predicates to 1-place predicates and 1-place functions

- involves reifying properties: new individuals
- typical of description logics / frame languages (later)

Flexibility in terms of arity:

```
Purchases(john,sears,bike) or
```

Purchases(john,sears,bike,feb14) or

Purchases(john,sears,bike,feb14,\$100)

Instead introduce purchase objects

 $\mathsf{Purchase}(p) \land \mathsf{agent}(p) = \mathsf{john} \land \mathsf{obj}(p) = \mathsf{bike} \land \mathsf{source}(p) = \mathsf{sears} \land \mathsf{amount}(p) = \ldots \land \ldots$

allows purchase to be described at various levels of detail

Complex relationships:

```
MarriedTo(x, y) vs.
```

PreviouslyMarriedTo(x,y) vs.

ReMarriedTo(x, y)

Define marital status in terms of existence of marriages and divorces.

Marriage(m) \wedge partner1(m)= $x \wedge$ partner2(m)= $y \wedge$ date(m)=... \wedge witness(m)=... \wedge ...



Abstract individuals

Also need individuals for numbers, dates, times, addresses, etc.

objects about which we ask wh-questions

```
Quantities as individuals
      age(suzy) = 14
      age-in-years(suzy) = 14
      age-in-months(suzv) = 168
  perhaps better to have an object for the age of Suzy, whose value in years is 14
      vears(age(suzv)) = 14
      months(x) = 12*vears(x)
      centimeters(x) = 100*meters(x)
Similarly with locations and times
  instead of
      time(m)="Jan 5 1992 4:47:03EST"
  can use
      time(m)=t \land vear(t)=1992 \land \dots
```

Other sorts of facts

Statistical / probabilistic facts

- Half of the companies are located on the East Side
- Most of the employees are restless
- Almost none of the employees are completely trustworthy

Default / prototypical facts

- Company presidents typically have secretaries intercepting their phone calls
- Cars have four wheels

Intentional facts

- John believes that Henry is trying to blackmail him
- Jane does not want Jim to think that she loves John

Others ...