

# 10. Iterative Compression

## COMP6741: Parameterized and Exact Computation

Serge Gaspers<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science and Engineering, UNSW Australia

<sup>2</sup>Data61, Decision Sciences Group, CSIRO

Semester 2, 2016

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Feedback Vertex Set
- 3 Min  $r$ -Hitting Set
- 4 Further Reading

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Feedback Vertex Set
- 3 Min  $r$ -Hitting Set
- 4 Further Reading

For a minimization problem:

- **Compression step:** Given a solution of size  $k + 1$ , compress it to a solution of size  $k$  or prove that there is no solution of size  $k$
- **Iteration step:** Incrementally build a solution to the given instance by deriving solutions for larger and larger subinstances

For a minimization problem:

- **Compression step:** Given a solution of size  $k + 1$ , compress it to a solution of size  $k$  or prove that there is no solution of size  $k$
- **Iteration step:** Incrementally build a solution to the given instance by deriving solutions for larger and larger subinstances
- Seen a lot of success in Parameterized Complexity
- Examples: best known fixed parameter algorithms for (DIRECTED) FEEDBACK VERTEX SET, EDGE BIPARTIZATION, ALMOST 2-SAT, ...

## Example: VERTEX COVER


A **vertex cover** in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a subset of its vertices  $S \subseteq V$  such that every edge of  $G$  has at least one endpoint in  $S$ .

### VERTEX COVER

Input: A graph  $G = (V, E)$  and an integer  $k$

Parameter:  $k$

Question: Does  $G$  have a vertex cover of size  $k$ ?



We will design a (slow) iterative compression algorithm for VERTEX COVER to illustrate the technique.

# VERTEX COVER: Compression Step

## COMP-VC

Input: graph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$ , vertex cover  $C$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $G$


Output: a vertex cover  $C^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $G$  if one exists

# VERTEX COVER: Compression Step

## COMP-VC

Input: graph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$ , vertex cover  $C$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $G$

Output: a vertex cover  $C^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $G$  if one exists



- Go over all partitions  $(C', \overline{C'})$  of  $C$
- $C^* = C' \cup N(\overline{C'})$
- If  $\overline{C'}$  is an independent set and  $|C^*| \leq k$  then return  $C^*$



# VERTEX COVER: Iteration Step

Use algorithm for COMP-VC to solve VERTEX COVER.

# VERTEX COVER: Iteration Step

Use algorithm for COMP-VC to solve VERTEX COVER.

- Order vertices:  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$
- Define  $G_i = G[\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}]$
- $C_0 = \emptyset$
- For  $i = 1..n$ , find a vertex cover  $C_i$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $G_i$  using the algorithm for COMP-VC with input  $G_i$  and  $C_{i-1} \cup \{v_i\}$ . If  $G_i$  has no vertex cover of size  $\leq k$ , then  $G$  has no vertex cover of size  $\leq k$ .

Final running time:  $O^*(2^k)$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Feedback Vertex Set
- 3 Min  $r$ -Hitting Set
- 4 Further Reading

# Feedback Vertex Set


A **feedback vertex set** of a multigraph  $G = (V, E)$  is a set of vertices  $S \subseteq V$  such that  $G - S$  is acyclic.

## FEEDBACK VERTEX SET (FVS)

Input: Multigraph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$

Parameter:  $k$

Question: Does  $G$  have a feedback vertex set of size at most  $k$ ?



**Note:** We already saw an  $O^*((3k)^k)$  time algorithm for FVS. We will now aim for a  $O^*(c^k)$  time algorithm, with  $c \in O(1)$ .

# Compression Problem

## COMP-FVS

Input: graph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$ , feedback vertex set  $S$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $G$

Output: a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $G$  if one exists

# Iteration step

- Order vertices:  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$
- Define  $G_i = G[\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}]$
- $S_0 = \emptyset$
- For  $i = 1..n$ , find a feedback vertex set  $S_i$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $G_i$  using the algorithm for COMP-FVS with input  $G_i$  and  $S_{i-1} \cup \{v_i\}$ . If  $G_i$  has no feedback vertex set of size  $\leq k$ , then  $G$  has no feedback vertex set of size  $\leq k$ .

Suppose COMP-FVS can be solved in  $O^*(c^k)$  time.  
Then, using this iteration, FVS can be solved in  $O^*(c^k)$  time.

## Compression step

To solve COMP-FVS, go through all partitions  $(S', \overline{S'})$  of  $S$ . For each of them, we will want to find a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| < |S|$  and  $S' \subseteq S^* \subseteq V \setminus \overline{S'}$  if one exists.

## Compression step

To solve COMP-FVS, go through all partitions  $(S', \overline{S'})$  of  $S$ . For each of them, we will want to find a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| < |S|$  and  $S' \subseteq S^* \subseteq V \setminus \overline{S'}$  if one exists.

Equivalently, find a feedback vertex set  $S''$  of  $G - S'$  with  $|S''| < |\overline{S'}|$  and  $S'' \cap \overline{S'} = \emptyset$ .



# Compression step

To solve COMP-FVS, go through all partitions  $(S', \overline{S'})$  of  $S$ . For each of them, we will want to find a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| < |S|$  and  $S' \subseteq S^* \subseteq V \setminus \overline{S'}$  if one exists.

Equivalently, find a feedback vertex set  $S''$  of  $G - S'$  with  $|S''| < |\overline{S'}|$  and  $S'' \cap \overline{S'} = \emptyset$ .

We arrive at the following problem:

## DISJOINT-FVS

Input: graph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$ , feedback vertex set  $S$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $G$

Output: a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| \leq k$  and  $S^* \cap S = \emptyset$ , if one exists

# Compression step

To solve COMP-FVS, go through all partitions  $(S', \overline{S'})$  of  $S$ . For each of them, we will want to find a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| < |S|$  and  $S' \subseteq S^* \subseteq V \setminus \overline{S'}$  if one exists.

Equivalently, find a feedback vertex set  $S''$  of  $G - S'$  with  $|S''| < |\overline{S'}|$  and  $S'' \cap \overline{S'} = \emptyset$ .

We arrive at the following problem:

## DISJOINT-FVS

Input: graph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$ , feedback vertex set  $S$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $G$

Output: a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| \leq k$  and  $S^* \cap S = \emptyset$ , if one exists

If DISJOINT-FVS can be solved in  $O^*(d^k)$  time, then COMP-FVS can be solved in

$$O^* \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} d^i \right) \subseteq O^*((d+1)^k) \text{ time.}$$


# Algorithm for DISJOINT-FVS

## DISJOINT-FVS


Input: graph  $G = (V, E)$ , integer  $k$ , feedback vertex set  $S$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $G$

Output: a feedback vertex set  $S^*$  of  $G$  with  $|S^*| \leq k$  and  $S^* \cap S = \emptyset$ , if one exists

Denote  $A := V \setminus S$ .



# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



Start with  $S^* = \emptyset$ .


(cycle-in- $S$ )

If  $G[S]$  is not acyclic, then return **No**.

(budget-exceeded)

If  $k < 0$ , then return **No**.


# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



(finished)

If  $G - S^*$  is acyclic, then return  $S^*$ .


# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



(creates-cycle)

If  $\exists v \in A$  such that  $G[S \cup \{v\}]$  is not acyclic, then add  $v$  to  $S^*$  and remove  $v$  from  $G$ .


# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



(creates-cycle)

If  $\exists v \in A$  such that  $G[S \cup \{v\}]$  is not acyclic, then add  $v$  to  $S^*$  and remove  $v$  from  $G$ .

# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS




(Degree- $(\leq 1)$ )

If  $\exists v \in V$  with  $d_G(v) \leq 1$ , then remove  $v$  from  $G$ .




# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



(Degree- $(\leq 1)$ )

If  $\exists v \in V$  with  $d_G(v) \leq 1$ , then remove  $v$  from  $G$ .


# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



## (Degree-2)

If  $\exists v \in V$  with  $d_G(v) = 2$  and at least one neighbor of  $v$  is in  $A$ , then add an edge between the neighbors of  $v$  (even if there was already an edge) and remove  $v$  from  $G$ .

# Simplification rules for DISJOINT-FVS



## (Degree-2)

If  $\exists v \in V$  with  $d_G(v) = 2$  and at least one neighbor of  $v$  is in  $A$ , then add an edge between the neighbors of  $v$  (even if there was already an edge) and remove  $v$  from  $G$ .

# Branching rule for DISJOINT-FVS

Select a vertex  $v \in A$  with at least 2 neighbors in  $S$ .

Such a vertex exists if no simplification rule applies (for example, we can take a leaf in  $G[A]$ ).

Branch into two subproblems:

$v \in S^*$ : add  $v$  to  $S^*$ , remove  $v$  from  $G$ , and decrease  $k$  by 1

$v \notin S^*$ : add  $v$  to  $S$

## Exercise: Running time

- Prove that this algorithm has running time  $O^*(4^k)$ .

## Theorem 1

FEEDBACK VERTEX SET *can be solved in  $O^*(5^k)$  time.*

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Feedback Vertex Set
- 3 Min  $r$ -Hitting Set**
- 4 Further Reading

# Min $r$ -Hitting Set

A **set system**  $\mathcal{S}$  is a pair  $(V, H)$ , where  $V$  is a finite set of elements and  $H$  is a set of subsets of  $V$ . The **rank** of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the maximum size of a set in  $H$ , i.e.,  $\max_{Y \in H} |Y|$ .


A **hitting set** of a set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$  is a subset  $X$  of  $V$  such that  $X$  contains at least one element of each set in  $H$ , i.e.,  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for each  $Y \in H$ .

(universe)-MIN- $r$ -HITTING SET ( $r$ -HS)

Input: A rank  $r$  set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$

Parameter:  $n = |V|$

Output: A smallest hitting set of  $\mathcal{S}$



**Note:** The corresponding decision problem is trivially **FPT**.




# Compression Step

## COMP- $r$ -HS

Input: set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$ , integer  $k$ , hitting set  $X$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $\mathcal{S}$

Output: a hitting set  $X^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  if one exists




# Compression Step

COMP- $r$ -HS

Input: set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$ , integer  $k$ , hitting set  $X$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $\mathcal{S}$

Output: a hitting set  $X^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  if one exists




Go over all partitions  $(X', \overline{X'})$  of  $X$  such that  $|X'| \geq 2|X| - n - 1$ .

# Compression Step

## COMP- $r$ -HS

Input: set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$ , integer  $k$ , hitting set  $X$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $\mathcal{S}$

Output: a hitting set  $X^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  if one exists




Reject a partition if there is a  $Y \in H$  such that  $Y \subseteq \overline{X'}$ .

# Compression Step

## COMP- $r$ -HS

Input: set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$ , integer  $k$ , hitting set  $X$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $\mathcal{S}$

Output: a hitting set  $X^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  if one exists




Compute a hitting set  $X''$  of size  $\leq k - |X'|$  for  $(V', H')$ , where  $V' = V \setminus X$  and  $H' = \{Y \cap V' : Y \in H \wedge Y \cap X' = \emptyset\}$ , if one exists.

# Compression Step

## COMP- $r$ -HS

Input: set system  $\mathcal{S} = (V, H)$ , integer  $k$ , hitting set  $X$  of size  $k + 1$  of  $\mathcal{S}$

Output: a hitting set  $X^*$  of size  $\leq k$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  if one exists



If one exists, then return  $X^* = X' \cup X''$ .

# Compression Step II

- The algorithm considers only partitions into  $(X', \overline{X'})$  such that  $|X'| \geq 2|X| - n - 1$ .  
Number of partitions:

$$O\left(\max\left\{2^{2n/3}, \max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n}\right\}\right) = O\left(\max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n}\right)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>the maximum in (1) is obtained for  $j \approx 0.6824 \cdot n$

# Compression Step II

- The algorithm considers only partitions into  $(X', \overline{X'})$  such that  $|X'| \geq 2|X| - n - 1$ .  
Number of partitions:

$$O\left(\max\left\{2^{2n/3}, \max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n}\right\}\right) = O\left(\max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n}\right)$$

- The subinstances  $(V', H')$  where  $V' = V \setminus X$  and  $H' = \{Y \cap V : Y \in H \wedge Y \cap X' = \emptyset\}$  are instances of  $(r-1)$ -HS

---

<sup>1</sup>the maximum in (1) is obtained for  $j \approx 0.6824 \cdot n$

# Compression Step II

- The algorithm considers only partitions into  $(X', \overline{X'})$  such that  $|X'| \geq 2|X| - n - 1$ .  
Number of partitions:

$$O\left(\max\left\{2^{2n/3}, \max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n}\right\}\right) = O\left(\max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n}\right)$$

- The subinstances  $(V', H')$  where  $V' = V \setminus X$  and  $H' = \{Y \cap V : Y \in H \wedge Y \cap X' = \emptyset\}$  are instances of  $(r-1)$ -HS
- Suppose  $(r-1)$ -HS can be solved in  $O^*((\alpha_{r-1})^n)$  time. Then,  $r$ -HS can be solved in

$$O^*\left(\max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \binom{j}{2j-n} (\alpha_{r-1})^{n-j}\right) \text{ time} \quad (1)$$

- For example, using a  $O(1.6278^n)$  algorithm for 3-HS [Wahlström '07], we obtain a  $O(1.8704^n)$  time algorithm for 4-HS <sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>the maximum in (1) is obtained for  $j \approx 0.6824 \cdot n$



# Iteration Step

- $(V, H)$  instance of  $r$ -HS with  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$
- $V_i = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  for  $i = 1$  to  $n$
- $H_i = \{Y \in H : Y \subseteq V_i\}$

# Iteration Step

- $(V, H)$  instance of  $r$ -HS with  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$
- $V_i = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  for  $i = 1$  to  $n$
- $H_i = \{Y \in H : Y \subseteq V_i\}$
- Note that  $|X_{i-1}| \leq |X_i| \leq |X_{i-1}| + 1$  where  $X_j$  is a minimum hitting set of the instance  $(V_i, H_i)$

Theorem 2 ([Fomin, Gaspers, Kratsch, Liedloff, and Saurabh, 2010])

*4-HS can be solved in  $O(1.8704^n)$  time.*

**Theorem 2** ([Fomin, Gaspers, Kratsch, Liedloff, and Saurabh, 2010])

4-HS can be solved in  $O(1.8704^n)$  time.

- One can generalize this result to the counting version of  $r$ -HS for any fixed  $r$ : count the number of minimum hitting sets of the given set system.

# # $r$ -Hitting Set

Theorem 3 ([Fomin, Gaspers, Kratsch, Liedloff, and Saurabh, 2010])

If there exists a  $O^*((\alpha_{r-1})^n)$  time algorithm for # $(r-1)$ -HS with  $\alpha_{r-1} \leq 2$ , then # $r$ -HS can be solved in time

$$O^* \left( \max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \binom{j}{2j-n} (\alpha_{r-1})^{n-j} \right\} \right).$$

# #r-Hitting Set

## Theorem 3 ([Fomin, Gaspers, Kratsch, Liedloff, and Saurabh, 2010])

If there exists a  $O^*((\alpha_{k-1})^n)$  time algorithm for  $\#(r-1)$ -HS with  $\alpha_{r-1} \leq 2$ , then  $\#r$ -HS can be solved in time

$$O^* \left( \max_{2n/3 \leq j \leq n} \left\{ \binom{j}{2j-n} (\alpha_{r-1})^{n-j} \right\} \right).$$

- If  $\alpha_{r-1} \geq 1.6553$ , then the following result is better

## Theorem 4 ([Fomin, Gaspers, Kratsch, Liedloff, and Saurabh, 2010])

If there exists a  $O^*((\alpha_{r-1})^n)$  time algorithm for  $\#(r-1)$ -HS with  $\alpha_{k-1} \leq 2$ , then  $\#r$ -HS can be solved in time

$$\min_{0.5 \leq \beta \leq 1} \max \left\{ O^* \left( \binom{n}{\beta n} \right), O^* \left( 2^{\beta n} (\alpha_{r-1})^{n-\beta n} \right) \right\}.$$

# Results for $r$ -HS and $\#r$ -HS

$r$	$\#r$ -HS	$r$ -HS
2	$O(1.2377^n)$ [Wahlström '08]	$O(1.2002^n)$ [Xiao, Nagamoshi '13]
3	$O(1.7198^n)$ [Theorem 3]	$O(1.6278^n)$ [Wahlström '07]
4	$O(1.8997^n)$ [Theorem 4]	$O(1.8704^n)$ [Theorem 3]
5	$O(1.9594^n)$ [Theorem 4]	$O(1.9489^n)$ [Theorem 4]
6	$O(1.9824^n)$ [Theorem 4]	$O(1.9781^n)$ [Theorem 4]
7	$O(1.9920^n)$ [Theorem 4]	$O(1.9902^n)$ [Theorem 4]

Faster algorithm for some of these problems are known. See [Gaspers, Lee, 2015], [Cochefert, Couturier, Gaspers, Kratsch, 2016], and [Fomin, Gaspers, Lokshtanov, Saurabh, 2016].

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Feedback Vertex Set
- 3 Min  $r$ -Hitting Set
- 4 Further Reading



- Chapter 4, *Iterative Compression* in Marek Cygan, Fedor V. Fomin, Łukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michał Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized Algorithms*. Springer, 2015.
- Section 11.3, *Iterative Compression* in Rolf Niedermeier. *Invitation to Fixed Parameter Algorithms*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- Section 6.1, *Iterative Compression: The Basic Technique* in Rodney G. Downey and Michael R. Fellows. *Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity*. Springer, 2013.
- Section 6.2, *Edge Bipartization* in Rodney G. Downey and Michael R. Fellows. *Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity*. Springer, 2013.