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## $\mathcal{L}$ : A simple imperative programming language

Consider the vocabulary of basic arithmetic:

- Constant symbols: $0,1,2, \ldots$
- Function symbols: $+, *, \ldots$
- Predicate symbols: $<, \leq, \geq, \mid, \ldots$
- An (arithmetic) expression is a term over this vocabulary.
- A boolean expression is a predicate formula over this vocabulary.


## The language $\mathcal{L}$

The language $\mathcal{L}$ is a simple imperative programming language made up of four statements:

Assignment: $x:=e$
where $x$ is a variable and $e$ is an arithmetic expression.
Sequencing: $P ; Q$
Conditional: if $b$ then $P$ else $Q \mathbf{f i}$ where $b$ is a boolean expression.
While: while $b$ do $P$ od

## Factorial in $\mathcal{L}$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f:=1 ; \\
& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } k<n \text { do } \\
& \quad k:=k+1 ; \\
& \quad f:=f * k \\
& \text { od }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary

- $\mathcal{L}$ : A simple imperative programming language
- Hoare triples (SYNTAX)
- Hoare logic (PROOF)
- Semantics for Hoare logic


## Hoare triple (Syntax)

$$
\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}
$$

Intuition:
If $\varphi$ holds in a state of some computational model then $\psi$ holds in the state reached after a successful execution of $P$.

## Summary
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## Hoare Logic

Hoare logic consists of one axiom and four inference rules for deriving Hoare triples.

## Assignment

$$
\overline{\{\varphi[e / x]\} x:=e\{\varphi\}}
$$

(ass)

## Assignment

Intuition:
If $x$ has property $\varphi$ after executing the assignment; then $e$ must have property $\varphi$ before executing the assignment

## Sequence

$$
\frac{\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\} \quad\{\psi\} Q\{\rho\}}{\{\varphi\} P ; Q\{\rho\}}
$$

Intuition:
If the postcondition of $P$ matches the precondition of $Q$ we can sequentially combine the two program fragments

## Conditional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\{\varphi \wedge g\} P\{\psi\} \quad\{\varphi \wedge \neg g\} Q\{\psi\}}{\{\varphi\} \text { if } g \text { then } P \text { else } Q \text { fi }\{\psi\}} \tag{if}
\end{equation*}
$$

Intuition:

- When a conditional is executed, either $P$ or $Q$ will be executed.
- If $\psi$ is a postcondition of the conditional, then it must be a postcondition of both branches
- Likewise, $\mathrm{f} \varphi$ is a precondition of the conditional, then it must be a precondition of both branches
- Which branch gets executed depends on $g$, so we can assume $g$ to be a precondition of $P$ and $\neg g$ to be a precondition of $Q$ (strengthen the preconditions).


## While

$$
\frac{\{\varphi \wedge g\} P\{\varphi\}}{\{\varphi\} \text { while } g \text { do } P \text { od }\{\varphi \wedge \neg g\}} \quad \text { (loop) }
$$

Intuition:

- $\varphi$ is a loop-invariant. It must be both a pre- and postcondition of $P$ so that sequences of $P \mathrm{~s}$ can be run together.
- If the while loop terminates, $g$ cannot hold.


## Precondition strengthening and Postcondition weakening

$$
\begin{equation*}
 \tag{cons}
\end{equation*}
$$

Intuition:

- $\varphi^{\prime} \rightarrow \varphi: \varphi^{\prime}$ is stronger than $\varphi$
- Stronger conditions impose more restrictions
$\Rightarrow$ States which satisfy $\varphi^{\prime}$ are a subset of states which satisfy $\varphi$
$\Rightarrow$ States reached after executing $P$ are a subset
$\Rightarrow$ The postcondition will hold in the smaller set of terminal states
- $\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}: \psi^{\prime}$ is weaker than $\psi$
- Weaker conditions impose fewer restrictions
$\Rightarrow$ States which satisfy $\psi$ are a subset of states which satisfy $\psi^{\prime}$
$\Rightarrow$ States reached after executing $P$ are a subset of those which satisfy $\psi^{\prime}$


## Example

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f:=1 \\
& k:=0 \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do } \\
& \qquad k:=k+1 \\
& \quad f:=f * k \\
& \text { od }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\text { TRUE }\} \\
& f:=1 ; \\
& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do } \\
& \quad k:=k+1 ; \\
& \quad f:=f * k \\
& \text { od } \\
& \{f=n!\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (full proof)

## Example

1. $\{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\}$

## Example (full proof)

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. } & \{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\} \\
\text { 2. } & \{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\} \tag{ass}
\end{array}
$$
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## Example

| 1. | $\{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\}$ | (ass) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | $\{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 3. | $\{1=0!\} f:=1 ; k:=0\{f=k!\}$ | (seq) $: 1,2$ |
| 4. | $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} k:=k+1\{f k=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 5. | $\{f k=k!\} f:=f * k\{f=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 6. | $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \operatorname{LoOP}\{f=k!\}$ | (seq) $: 4,5$ |
| 7. | $(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n) \rightarrow f(k+1)=(k+1)!$ | math |

## Example (full proof)

## Example

1. $\{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\}$
(ass)
2. $\{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\}$
3. $\{1=0!\} f:=1 ; k:=0\{f=k!\}$
4. $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} k:=k+1\{f k=k!\}$
(seq) : 1,2
(ass)
5. $\{f k=k!\} f:=f * k\{f=k!\}$
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## Example (full proof)

## Example

1. $\{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\}$
2. $\{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\}$
3. $\{1=0!\} f:=1 ; k:=0\{f=k!\}$
4. $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} k:=k+1\{f k=k!\}$
5. $\{f k=k!\} f:=f * k\{f=k!\}$
6. $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\}$
7. $(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n) \rightarrow f(k+1)=(k+1)$ !
8. $\{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\}$
9. $\{f=k!\}$ while $\ldots$ od $\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\}$
(seq) : 1, 2
(ass)
(seq) : 4, 5
(ass)
(ass)
math
(cons): 6,7
(loop): 8

## Example (full proof)

## Example

| 1. | $\{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\}$ | (ass) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | $\{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 3. | $\{1=0!\} f:=1 ; k:=0\{f=k!\}$ | (seq) 1,2 |
| 4. | $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} k:=k+1\{f k=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 5. | $\{f k=k!\} f:=f * k\{f=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 6. $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\}$ | (seq) $: 4,5$ |  |
| 7. | $(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n) \rightarrow f(k+1)=(k+1)!$ | math |
| 8. | $\{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\}$ | (cons): 6,7 |
| 9. | $\{f=k!\}$ while $\ldots$ od $\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\}$ | (loop): 8 |
| 10. $\{1=0!\}$ FACTORIAL $\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\}$ | (seq) |  |

## Example (full proof)

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. } & \{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\} \\
\text { 2. } & \{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\} \\
\text { 3. } & \{1=0!\} f:=1 ; k:=0\{f=k!\} \\
\text { 4. } & \{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} k:=k+1\{f k=k!\} \\
\text { 5. } & \{f k=k!\} f:=f * k\{f=k!\} \\
\text { 6. } & \{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\} \\
\text { 7. } & (f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n) \rightarrow f(k+1)=(k+1)! \\
\text { 8. } & \{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\} \\
\text { 9. } & \{f=k!\} \text { while } . . \text { od }\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\} \\
\text { 10. } & \{1=0!\} \text { FACTORIAL }\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\} \\
\text { 11. } & \text { TRUE } \rightarrow(1=0!) \\
\text { 12. } & ((f=k!) \wedge(k=n)) \rightarrow f=n!
\end{array}
$$

## Example (full proof)

## Example

| 1. | $\{1=0!\} f:=1\{f=0!\}$ | (ass) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | $\{f=0!\} k:=0\{f=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 3. | $\{1=0!\} f:=1 ; k:=0\{f=k!\}$ | (seq) $: 1,2$ |
| 4. | $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} k:=k+1\{f k=k!\}$ | (ass) |
| 5. $\{f k=k!\} f:=f * k\{f=k!\}$ | (ass) |  |
| 6. | $\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\}$ LoOP $\{f=k!\}$ | (seq) $: 4,5$ |
| 7. | $(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n) \rightarrow f(k+1)=(k+1)!$ | math |
| 8. $\{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \operatorname{LOOP}\{f=k!\}$ | (cons): 6,7 |  |
| 9. $\{f=k!\}$ while...od $\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\}$ | (loop): 8 |  |
| 10. $\{1=0!\}$ FACTORIAL $\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\}$ | (seq) |  |
| 11. | TRUE $\rightarrow(1=0!)$ | math |
| 12. $((f=k!) \wedge(k=n)) \rightarrow f=n!$ | math |  |
| 13. $\{$ TRUE $\}$ FACTORIAL $\{f=n!\}$ | (cons): |  |
|  |  | $10,11,12$ |
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## Example

\{True\}

## Example (proof outline)

## Example

$\{$ True $\}$
$\{1=0!\}$

## Example (proof outline)

## Example

|  | $\{$ TRUE $\}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $\{1=0!\}$ |
|  | $\{f=0!\}$ |

## Example (proof outline)

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \{\text { TRUE }\} \\
f:=1 ; & \{1=0!\} \\
k:=0 ; & \{f=0!\} \\
& \{f=k!\}
\end{array}
$$

## Example (proof outline)

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do }
\end{aligned}
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& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do }
\end{aligned}
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$$
\begin{array}{r}
\{\text { TRUE }\} \\
\{1=0!\} \\
\{f=0!\} \\
\{f=k!\} \\
\{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \\
\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\}
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## Example (proof outline)

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{lr} 
& \{\text { TRUE }\} \\
& \{1=0!\} \\
f:=1 ; & \{f=0!\} \\
k:=0 ; & \{f=k!\} \\
\text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do } & \{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \\
& \{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \\
k:=k+1 ; & \{f k=k!\}
\end{array}
$$

## Example (proof outline)

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f:=1 ; \\
& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do } \\
& \\
& k:=k+1 ; \\
& \quad f:=f * k
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\{\text { TRUE }\} \\
\{1=0!\} \\
\{f=0!\} \\
\{f=k!\} \\
\{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \\
\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \\
\{f k=k!\} \\
\{f=k!\}
\end{array}
$$

## Example (proof outline)

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f:=1 ; \\
& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do } \\
& \qquad \quad:=k+1 ; \\
& \quad f:=f * k \\
& \text { od }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f:=1 ; \\
& k:=0 ; \\
& \text { while } \neg(k=n) \text { do } \\
& \qquad \quad:=k+1 ; \\
& \quad f:=f * k \\
& \text { od }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\{\text { TRUE }\} \\
\{1=0!\} \\
\{f=0!\} \\
\{f=k!\} \\
\{(f=k!) \wedge \neg(k=n)\} \\
\{f(k+1)=(k+1)!\} \\
\{f k=k!\} \\
\{f=k!\} \\
\{(f=k!) \wedge(k=n)\} \\
\{f=n!\}
\end{array}
$$

## Summary

- $\mathcal{L}$ : A simple imperative programming language
- Hoare triples (SYNTAX)
- Hoare logic (PROOF)
- Semantics for Hoare logic


## Recall

If $R$ and $S$ are binary relations, then the relational composition of $R$ and $S, R$; $S$ is the relation:

$$
R ; S:=\{(a, c): \exists b \text { such that }(a, b) \in R \text { and }(b, c) \in S\}
$$

If $R \subseteq A \times B$ is a relation, and $X \subseteq A$, then the image of $X$ under $R, R(X)$ is the subset of $B$ defined as:

$$
R(X):=\{b \in B: \exists a \text { in } X \text { such that }(a, b) \in R\} .
$$

## Informal semantics

Hoare logic gives a proof of $\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$, that is: $\vdash\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ (axiomatic semantics)

How do we determine when $\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ is valid, that is:
$\vDash\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ ?

## Informal semantics

Hoare logic gives a proof of $\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$, that is: $\vdash\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ (axiomatic semantics)

How do we determine when $\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ is valid, that is:
$\vDash\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ ?
If $\varphi$ holds in a state of some computational model then $\psi$ holds in the state reached after a successful execution of $P$.

## Informal semantics: Programs

What is a program?
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## Informal semantics: Programs

What is a program?
A relation between system states
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## Informal semantics: States

What is a state of a computational model?
Two approaches:

- Concrete: from a physical perspective
- States are memory configurations, register contents, etc.
- Store of variables and the values associated with them
- Abstract: from a mathematical perspective
- The pre-/postcondition predicates hold in a state
$\Rightarrow$ States are logical interpretations (Model + Environment)
- There is only one model of interest: standard interpretations of arithmetical symbols
$\Rightarrow$ States are fully determined by environments
$\Rightarrow$ States are functions that map variables to values


## Informal semantics: States

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { State space (ENV) } \\
\begin{array}{ll}
x \leftarrow 0 \\
y \leftarrow 0 \\
z \leftarrow 0
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ll}
x \leftarrow 1 \\
y \leftarrow 1 \\
z \leftarrow 1
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
x \leftarrow 1 \\
y \leftarrow 1 \\
y \leftarrow 0 \\
y \leftarrow 2 \\
z \leftarrow 1 \\
z \leftarrow 1 \\
z \leftarrow 2
\end{array} \\
\end{gathered}
$$

## Informal semantics: States and Programs



## Informal semantics: States and Programs



## Semantics for $\mathcal{L}$

An environment or state is a function from variables to numeric values. We denote by Env the set of all environments.

## NB

An environment, $\eta$, assigns a numeric value $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\eta}$ to all expressions $e$, and a boolean value $\llbracket b \rrbracket^{\eta}$ to all boolean expressions $b$.

## Semantics for $\mathcal{L}$

An environment or state is a function from variables to numeric values. We denote by Env the set of all environments.

## NB

An environment, $\eta$, assigns a numeric value $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\eta}$ to all expressions $e$, and a boolean value $\llbracket b \rrbracket^{\eta}$ to all boolean expressions $b$.

Given a program $P$ of $\mathcal{L}$, we define $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ to be a binary relation on Env in the following manner...

## Assignment

$$
\left(\eta, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in \llbracket x:=e \rrbracket \text { if, and only if } \quad \eta^{\prime}=\eta\left[x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\eta}\right]
$$

Assignment: $\llbracket z:=2 \rrbracket$
State space (Env)


## Sequencing

$$
\llbracket P ; Q \rrbracket=\llbracket P \rrbracket ; \llbracket Q \rrbracket
$$

where, on the RHS, ; is relational composition.

## Conditional, first attempt

$$
\llbracket i f b \text { then } P \text { else } Q \text { fi } \rrbracket=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\llbracket P \rrbracket & \text { if } \llbracket b \rrbracket^{\eta}=\text { true } \\
\llbracket Q \rrbracket & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Detour: Predicates as programs

A boolean expression $b$ defines a subset (or unary relation) of ENV:

$$
\langle b\rangle=\left\{\eta: \llbracket b \rrbracket^{\eta}=\text { true }\right\}
$$

This can be extended to a binary relation (i.e. a program):

$$
\llbracket b \rrbracket=\{(\eta, \eta): \eta \in\langle b\rangle\}
$$

## Detour: Predicates as programs

A boolean expression $b$ defines a subset (or unary relation) of ENV:

$$
\langle b\rangle=\left\{\eta: \llbracket b \rrbracket^{\eta}=\text { true }\right\}
$$

This can be extended to a binary relation (i.e. a program):

$$
\llbracket b \rrbracket=\{(\eta, \eta): \eta \in\langle b\rangle\}
$$

Intuitively, b corresponds to the program
if $b$ then skip else $\perp \mathbf{f i}$

## Conditional, better attempt

$\llbracket i f b$ then $P$ else $Q \mathbf{f i} \rrbracket=\llbracket b ; P \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \neg b ; Q \rrbracket$

## While

## while $b$ do $P$ od

- Do 0 or more executions of $P$ while $b$ holds
- Terminate when $b$ does not hold
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- Do 0 or more executions of $(b ; P)$
- Terminate with an execution of $\neg b$


## While

while $b$ do $P$ od

- Do 0 or more executions of $(b ; P)$
- Terminate with an execution of $\neg b$

How to do " 0 or more" executions of $(b ; P)$ ?

## Transitive closure

Given a binary relation $R \subseteq E \times E$, the transitive closure of $R, R^{*}$ is defined to be the limit of the sequence

$$
R^{0} \cup R^{1} \cup R^{2} \ldots
$$

where

- $R^{0}=\Delta$, the diagonal relation
- $R^{n+1}=R^{n} ; R$


## NB

- $R^{*}$ is the smallest transitive relation which contains $R$
- Related to the Kleene star operation seen in languages: $\Sigma^{*}$


## Transitive closure

Given a binary relation $R \subseteq E \times E$, the transitive closure of $R, R^{*}$ is defined to be the limit of the sequence

$$
R^{0} \cup R^{1} \cup R^{2} \ldots
$$

where

- $R^{0}=\Delta$, the diagonal relation
- $R^{n+1}=R^{n} ; R$


## NB

- $R^{*}$ is the smallest transitive relation which contains $R$
- Related to the Kleene star operation seen in languages: $\Sigma^{*}$

Technically, $R^{*}$ is the least-fixed point of $f(X)=X \cup X ; R$

## While

## $\llbracket$ while $b$ do $P$ od $\rrbracket=\llbracket b ; P \rrbracket^{*} ; \llbracket \neg b \rrbracket$

- Do 0 or more executions of $(b ; P)$
- Conclude with an execution of $\neg b$


## Validity

A Hoare triple is valid, written $\models\{\varphi\} P\{\psi\}$ if

$$
\llbracket P \rrbracket(\langle\varphi\rangle) \subseteq\langle\psi\rangle .
$$

That is, the relational image under $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ of the set of states where $\varphi$ holds is contained in the set of states where $\psi$ holds.

## Validity
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