

COMP4418: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning—Solutions to Exercise 1 Propositional Logic

1. (i) $(\neg Ja \wedge \neg Jo) \rightarrow T$

Where:

Ja: Jane is in town

Jo: John is in town

T: we will play tennis

(ii) $R \vee \neg R$

Where:

R: it will rain today

(iii) $\neg S \rightarrow \neg P$

Where:

S: you study

P: you will pass this course

(iv) $D \rightarrow (B \vee S)$

Where:

D: I ate dinner

B: I drink bubble tea

S: I drink soft drink

(v) $(V \wedge D) \rightarrow (R \wedge \neg F)$

Where:

V: 80% of adults are fully vaccinated

D: COVID-19 cases begin to drop

R: lockdown restrictions are eased

F: international flights immediately resume

2. (i) $P \rightarrow Q$

$\neg P \vee Q$ (remove \rightarrow)

(ii) $(P \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow R$

$\neg(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee R$ (remove \rightarrow)

$(\neg\neg P \wedge \neg\neg Q) \vee R$ (De Morgan)

$(P \wedge Q) \vee R$ (Double Negation)

$(P \vee R) \wedge (Q \vee R)$ (Distribute \vee over \wedge)

(iii) $\neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \rightarrow (\neg R \vee \neg Q)$

$\neg\neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg R \vee \neg Q)$ (remove \rightarrow)

$(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg R \vee \neg Q)$ (Double Negation)

$(P \vee \neg R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R \vee \neg Q)$ (Distribute \vee over \wedge)

This can be further simplified to: $((P \vee \neg R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R))$

And in fact this can be simplified to $\neg Q \vee \neg R$ since $(\neg Q \vee \neg R) \vdash (P \vee \neg R \vee \neg Q)$

(iv) $(\neg P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow \neg R)$

$\neg(\neg P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow \neg R)$ (remove \rightarrow)

- $\neg(P \vee Q) \vee (\neg Q \vee \neg R)$ (remove \rightarrow)
 $(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg Q \vee \neg R)$ (De Morgan)
 $(\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee \neg R) \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R)$ (Distribute \vee over \wedge)
- (v) $\neg(\neg P \vee Q) \vee (\neg R \rightarrow S)$
 $\neg(\neg P \vee Q) \vee (\neg\neg R \vee S)$ (remove \rightarrow)
 $(\neg\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg\neg R \vee S)$ (De Morgan)
 $(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (R \vee S)$ (Double Negation)
 $(P \vee R \vee S) \wedge (\neg Q \vee R \vee S)$ (Distribute \vee over \wedge)

	P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P$
3. (i)	T	T	T	F	F
	T	F	F	T	F
	F	T	T	F	T
	F	F	T	T	T

In all rows where both $P \rightarrow Q$ and $\neg Q$ are true, $\neg P$ is also true. Therefore, inference is valid.

	P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$
(ii)	T	T	F	F	T	T
	T	F	F	T	F	F
	F	T	T	F	T	T
	F	F	T	T	T	T

In all rows where $P \rightarrow Q$ is true, $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ is also true. Therefore, inference is valid.

	P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$P \rightarrow R$
(iii)	T	T	T	T	T	T
	T	T	F	T	F	F
	T	F	T	F	T	T
	T	F	F	F	T	F
	F	T	T	T	T	T
	F	T	F	T	F	T
	F	F	T	T	T	T
	F	F	F	T	T	T

In all rows where both $P \rightarrow Q$ and $Q \rightarrow R$ are true, $P \rightarrow R$ is also true. Therefore, inference is valid.

	P	Q	R	$Q \wedge R$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \rightarrow R$	$P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$
(iv)	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
	T	T	F	F	T	F	F
	T	F	T	F	F	T	F
	T	F	F	F	F	F	F
	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
	F	T	F	F	T	T	T
	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
	F	F	F	F	T	T	T

In all rows where both $P \rightarrow Q$ and $P \rightarrow R$ are true, $P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$ is also true. Therefore, inference is valid.

	P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$	$(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$
(v)	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
	T	T	F	F	F	F	F
	T	F	T	F	T	T	T
	T	F	F	F	T	T	T
	F	T	T	F	T	T	T
	F	T	F	F	F	T	T
	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
	F	F	F	F	T	T	T

In all rows where $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$ is true, $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$ is also true. Therefore, inference is valid.

4. (i) $\text{CNF}(P \rightarrow Q)$
 $\equiv \neg P \vee Q$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{CNF}(\neg Q) \\ &\equiv \neg Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{CNF}(\neg \neg P) \\ &\equiv P \text{ (Double Negation)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P \vee Q$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q$ (Hypothesis)
3. P (Negation of Conclusion)
4. Q 1, 3 Resolution
5. \square 2, 4 Resolution

(ii) $\text{CNF}(P \rightarrow Q)$
 $\equiv \neg P \vee Q$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{CNF}(\neg(\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)) \\ &\equiv \neg(\neg \neg Q \vee \neg P) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\ &\equiv \neg(Q \vee \neg P) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\ &\equiv \neg Q \wedge \neg \neg P \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ &\equiv \neg Q \wedge P \text{ (Double Negation)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P \vee Q$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q$ (Negation of Conclusion)
3. P (Negation of Conclusion)
4. $\neg P$ 1, 2 Resolution
5. \square 3, 4 Resolution

(iii) $P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R \vdash P \rightarrow R$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{CNF}(P \rightarrow Q) \\ &\equiv \neg P \vee Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{CNF}(Q \rightarrow R) \\ &\equiv \neg Q \vee R \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(\neg(P \rightarrow R)) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg P \vee R) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg P \wedge \neg R \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv P \wedge \neg R \text{ (Double Negation)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P \vee Q$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q \vee R$ (Hypothesis)
3. P (Negation of Conclusion)
4. $\neg R$ (Negation of Conclusion)
5. Q 1, 3 Resolution
6. R 2, 5 Resolution
7. \square 4, 6 Resolution

$$(iv) P \rightarrow Q, P \rightarrow R \vdash P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(P \rightarrow Q) \\
& \equiv \neg P \vee Q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(P \rightarrow R) \\
& \equiv \neg P \vee R
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(\neg(P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R))) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg P \vee (Q \wedge R)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg P \wedge \neg(Q \wedge R) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R) \text{ (Double Negation, De Morgan)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P \vee Q$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg P \vee R$ (Hypothesis)
3. P (Negation of Conclusion)
4. $\neg Q \vee \neg R$ (Negation of Conclusion)
5. Q 1, 3 Resolution
6. R 2, 3 Resolution
7. $\neg R$ 4, 5 Resolution
8. \square 6, 7 Resolution

$$(v) P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R) \vdash (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)) \\
& \equiv P \rightarrow (\neg Q \vee R) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg P \vee (\neg Q \vee R) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q \vee R
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(\neg((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R)) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg(P \wedge Q) \vee R) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg(P \wedge Q) \wedge \neg R \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv (P \wedge Q) \wedge \neg R \text{ (Double Negation)} \\
& \equiv P \wedge Q \wedge \neg R
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee R$ (Hypothesis)
2. P (Negation of Conclusion)
3. Q (Negation of Conclusion)
4. $\neg R$ (Negation of Conclusion)
5. $\neg Q \vee R$ 1, 2 Resolution
6. R 3, 5 Resolution
7. \square 4, 6 Resolution

5. (i) $((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$

P	Q	$\neg P$	$P \vee Q$	$(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P$	$((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$
T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	T

Last column is always true no matter what truth assignment to the atoms P and Q . Therefore $((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$ is a tautology.

- (ii) $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge \neg(P \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg(P \rightarrow R)$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge \neg(P \rightarrow R)$	$((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge \neg(P \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$
T	T	T	T	F	F	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	F	T

Last column is always true no matter what truth assignment to the atoms P , Q and R . Therefore $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge \neg(P \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

- (iii) $\neg(\neg P \wedge P) \wedge P$

P	$\neg P$	$\neg P \wedge P$	$\neg(\neg P \wedge P)$	$\neg(\neg P \wedge P) \wedge P$
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F

Last column is not always true. Therefore $\neg(\neg P \wedge P) \wedge P$ is not a tautology.

- (iv) $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \vee Q$	$\neg P \wedge \neg Q$	$\neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$	$(P \vee Q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T

- (v) $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge Q)$

P	Q	$P \vee Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg(P \wedge Q)$	$(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge Q)$
T	T	T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	F

Last column is not always true. Therefore $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge Q)$ is not a tautology.

$$\begin{aligned}
6. \quad (i) \quad & \text{CNF}(\neg(((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q)) \equiv \neg(\neg((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \vee Q) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \wedge \neg Q \text{ (DeMorgan)} \\
& \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P \wedge \neg Q \text{ (Double Negation)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $P \vee Q$ (Negated Conclusion)
2. $\neg P$ (Negated Conclusion)
3. $\neg Q$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. Q 1, 2 Resolution
5. \square 3, 4 Resolution

Therefore $\neg(((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad & \text{CNF}(\neg(((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge \neg(P \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q))) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg P \vee R)) \vee (\neg P \vee Q)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg P \vee R)) \wedge \neg(\neg P \vee Q) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg\neg P \wedge \neg R) \wedge (\neg\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \text{ (Double Negation and De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (P \wedge \neg R) \wedge (P \wedge \neg Q) \text{ (Double Negation)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P \vee Q$ (Negated Conclusion)
2. P (Negated Conclusion)
3. $\neg R$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. $\neg Q$ (Negated Conclusion)
5. Q 1, 2 Resolution
6. \square 4, 5 Resolution

Therefore $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge \neg(P \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

$$\begin{aligned}
(iii) \quad & \text{CNF}(\neg(\neg(\neg P \wedge P) \wedge P)) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg(\neg P \wedge P) \vee \neg P \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \wedge P) \vee \neg P \text{ (Double Negation)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \vee \neg P) \wedge (P \vee \neg P) \text{ (Distribute } \wedge \text{ over } \vee) \\
& \equiv \neg P \text{ (Can simplify to this by removing repetition and tautologies)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P$ (Negated Conclusion)

Cannot obtain empty clause using resolution so $\neg(\neg P \wedge P) \wedge P$ is not a tautology.

$$\begin{aligned}
(iv) \quad & \text{CNF}(\neg((P \vee Q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q))) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg(P \vee Q) \vee \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
& \equiv \neg\neg(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg\neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \text{ (Double Negation)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $(P \vee Q)$ (Negated Conclusion)
2. $\neg Q$ (Negated Conclusion)
3. $\neg P$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. Q 1, 2 Resolution
5. \square 3, 4, Resolution

Therefore $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ is a tautology.

$$\begin{aligned}
(v) \quad & \text{CNF}(\neg((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge Q))) \\
& \equiv \neg(P \vee Q) \vee \neg\neg(P \wedge Q) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv \neg(P \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge Q) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (P \wedge Q) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \vee P) \wedge (\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee P) \wedge (\neg Q \vee Q) \text{ (Distribution)} \\
& \equiv (\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee \neg Q) \text{ (Removal of tautologies)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $(\neg P \vee Q)$ (Negated Conclusion)
2. $(P \vee \neg Q)$ (Negated Conclusion)

Cannot obtain empty clause using resolution so $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(P \wedge Q)$ is not a tautology.

7. P : I will listen to the album “SOUR” by Olivia Rodrigo
 Q : I will watch another episode of The Queen’s Gambit

Truth table:

$$P \vee Q, \neg Q \models \neg P$$

P	Q	$P \vee Q$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P$
T	T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T

This inference is not valid as $\neg P$ is not always true when $(P \vee Q)$ and $\neg Q$ are both true.

Resolution:

$$P \vee Q, \neg Q \vdash \neg P$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{CNF}(\neg\neg P) \\
& \equiv P \text{ (Double Negation)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $P \vee Q$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q$ (Hypothesis)
3. P (Negated Conclusion)
4. P 1, 2 Resolution

This inference is not valid as we cannot derive the empty clause using resolution.

8. B : I will drink too much bubble tea

S : I feel sick

Truth table:

$$(B \rightarrow S) \vee (S \rightarrow B)$$

B	S	$B \rightarrow S$	$S \rightarrow B$	$(B \rightarrow S) \vee (S \rightarrow B)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T

Last column is always true. Therefore the statement is a tautology.

Resolution:

$$\vdash (B \rightarrow S) \vee (S \rightarrow B)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\neg((B \rightarrow S) \vee (S \rightarrow B))) \\ & \equiv \neg((\neg B \vee S) \vee (\neg S \vee B)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\ & \equiv \neg(\neg B \vee S) \wedge \neg(\neg S \vee B) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ & \equiv (\neg\neg B \wedge \neg S) \wedge (\neg\neg S \wedge \neg B) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ & \equiv (B \wedge \neg S) \wedge (S \wedge \neg B) \text{ (Double Negation)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $B \wedge \neg S$ (Negated Conclusion)
2. $S \wedge \neg B$ (Negated Conclusion)
3. \square 1, 2 Resolution

Therefore the sentence is a tautology.