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## 1 Algorithms for NP-hard problems

Central question

## P vs. NP

## NP-hard problems

- no known polynomial time algorithm for any NP-hard problem
- belief: $\mathrm{P} \neq \mathrm{NP}$
- What to do when facing an NP-hard problem?


## Example problem: Vertex Cover

A vertex cover in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V$ such that every edge of $G$ has an endpoint in $S$.

| Vertex | Cover |
| :--- | :--- |
| Input: | Graph $G$, integer $k$ |
| Question: | Does $G$ have a vertex cover of size $k$ ? |

Note: Vertex Cover is NP-complete.


## Coping with NP-hardness

- Approximation algorithms
- There is an algorithm, which, given an instance ( $G, k$ ) for Vertex Cover, finds a vertex cover of size at most $2 k$ or correctly determines that $G$ has no vertex cover of size $k$.
- Exact exponential time algorithms
- There is an algorithm solving Vertex Cover in time $O\left(1.2002^{n}\right)$, where $n=|V|$.
- Fixed parameter algorithms
- There is an algorithm solving Vertex Cover in time $O\left(1.2738^{k}+k n\right)$.
- Heuristics
- Heuristic A finds a smaller vertex cover than Heuristic B on benchmark instances $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$.
- Restricting the inputs
- Vertex Cover can be solved in polynomial time on bipartite graphs, trees, interval graphs, etc.
- Quantum algorithms?
- Not believed to solve NP-hard problems in polynomial time.


## Aims of this course

Design and analyze algorithms for NP-hard problems.
We focus on algorithms that solve NP-hard problems exactly and analyze their worst case running time.

## 2 Exponential Time Algorithms

## Running times

Worst case running time of an algorithm.

- An algorithm is polynomial if $\exists c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the algorithm solves every instance in time $O\left(n^{c}\right)$, where $n$ is the size of the instance. Also: $n^{O(1)}$ or poly $(n)$.
- quasi-polynomial: $2^{O\left(\log ^{c} n\right)}, c \in O(1)$
- sub-exponential: $2^{o(n)}$
- exponential: $2^{\text {poly(n) }}$
- double-exponential: $2^{2^{\text {poly(n) }}}$
$O^{*}$-notation ignores polynomial factors in the input size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O^{*}(f(n)) \equiv O(f(n) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n)) \\
& O^{*}(f(k)) \equiv O(f(k) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Brute-force algorithms for NP-hard problems

Theorem 1. Every problem in NP can be solved in exponential time.
Proof. Let $\Pi$ be an arbitrary problem in NP. [Use certificate-based definition of NP] We know that $\exists$ a polynomial $p$ and a polynomial-time verification algorithm $V$ such that:

- for every $x \in \Pi$ (i.e., every Yes-instance for $\Pi$ ) $\exists$ string $y \in\{0,1\}^{*},|y| \leq p(|x|)$, such that $V(x, y)=1$, and
- for every $x \notin \Pi$ (i.e., every No-instance for $\Pi$ ) and every string $y \in\{0,1\}^{*}, V(x, y)=0$.

Now, we can prove that there exists an exponential-time algorithm for $\Pi$ with input $x$ :

- For each string $y \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ with $|y| \leq p(|x|)$, evaluate $V(x, y)$ and return YES if $V(x, y)=1$.
- Return No.

Running time: $2^{p(|x|)} \cdot n^{O(1)} \subseteq 2^{O(2 \cdot p(|x|))}=2^{O(p(|x|))}$, but non-constructive.

## Three main categories for NP-complete problems

- Subset problems
- Permutation problems
- Partition problems


## Subset Problem: Independent Set

An independent set in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V$ such that the vertices in $S$ are pairwise non-adjacent in $G$.

```
Independent Set
    Input: Graph }G\mathrm{ , integer }
    Question: Does G have an independent set of size k
```



Brute-force: $O^{*}\left(2^{n}\right)$, where $n=|V(G)|$

## Permutation Problem: Traveling Salesman

Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)
Input: $\quad$ a set of $n$ cities, the distance $d(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}$ between every two cities $i$ and $j$, integer $k$
Question: Is there a permutation of the cities (a tour) such that the total distance when traveling from city to city in the specified order, and returning back to the origin, is at most $k$ ?


Brute-force: $O^{*}(n!) \subseteq 2^{O(n \log n)}$

## Partition Problem: Coloring

A $k$-coloring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a function $f: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ assigning colors to $V$ such that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color.

## Coloring

Input: Graph $G$, integer $k$
Question: Does $G$ have a $k$-coloring?


Brute-force: $O^{*}\left(k^{n}\right)$, where $n=|V(G)|$

## Exponential Time Algorithms

- natural question in Algorithms: design faster (worst-case analysis) algorithms for problems
- might lead to practical algorithms
- for small instances
* you don't want to design software where your client/boss can find with better solutions by hand than your software
- subroutines for
* (sub)exponential time approximation algorithms
* randomized algorithms with expected polynomial run time


## Solve an NP-hard problem

- exhaustive search
- trivial method
- try all possible "solutions" (certificates) for a ground set on $n$ elements
- running times for problems in NP
* Subset Problems: $O^{*}\left(2^{n}\right)$
* Permutation Problems: $O^{*}(n!)$
* Partition Problems: $O^{*}\left(c^{n \log n}\right)$
- faster exact algorithms
- for some problems, it is possible to obtain provably faster algorithms
- running times $O\left(1.0892^{n}\right), O\left(1.5086^{n}\right), O\left(1.9977^{n}\right)$


## Exponential Time Algorithms in Practice

- How large are the instances one can solve in practice?

| Available time <br> nb. of operations | 1 s | $2^{36}$ | $2^{42}$ | $2^{48}$ | $2^{44}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Intel Core i7 920 (Quad core) executes between $2^{36}$ and $2^{37}$ instructions per second at 2.66 GHz .
For every polynomial-time algorithm you have, there is an exponential algorithm that I would rather run.

- Alan Perlis (1922-1990, programming languages, 1st recipient of Turing Award)


## Hardware vs. Algorithms

- Suppose a $2^{n}$ algorithm enables us to solve instances up to size $x$
- Faster processors
- processor speed doubles after 18-24 months (Moore's law)
- can solve instances up to size $x+1$
- Faster algorithm
- design an $O^{*}\left(2^{n / 2}\right) \subseteq O\left(1.4143^{n}\right)$ time algorithm
- can solve instances up to size $2 \cdot x$


## 3 Parameterized Complexity

A story
A computer scientist meets a biologist ... The biologist has performed $n$ experiments. Unfortunately, the data obtained from these experiments has some conflicts. He suspects that a small number $k$ of experiments have gone wrong, and he would like to detect whether removing $k$ experiments can solve all the conflicts.

## Eliminating conflicts from experiments

$n=1000$ experiments, $k=20$ experiments failed

|  | Running Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretical | Number of Instructions | Real |
| $2^{n}$ | $1.07 \cdot 10^{301}$ | $4.941 \cdot 10^{282}$ years |
| $n^{k}$ | $10^{60}$ | $4.611 \cdot 10^{41}$ years |
| $2^{k} \cdot n$ | $1.05 \cdot 10^{9}$ | 0.01526 seconds |

Notes:

- We assume that $2^{36}$ instructions are carried out per second.
- The Big Bang happened roughly $13.5 \cdot 10^{9}$ years ago.


## Goal of Parameterized Complexity

Confine the combinatorial explosion to a parameter $k$.


For which problem-parameter combinations can we find algorithms with running times of the form

$$
f(k) \cdot n^{O(1)}
$$

where the $f$ is a computable function independent of the input size $n$ ?

## Examples of Parameters

```
A Parameterized Problem
    Input: an instance of the problem
    Parameter: a parameter k
    Question: a Yes-No question about the instance and the parameter
```

- A parameter can be
- input size (trivial parameterization)
- solution size
- related to the structure of the input (maximum degree, treewidth, branchwidth, genus, ...)
- etc.


## Main Complexity Classes

P : class of problems that can be solved in time $n^{O(1)}$
FPT: class of problems that can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot n^{O(1)}$
$\mathrm{W}[\cdot]$ : parameterized intractability classes
XP: class of problems that can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot n^{g(k)}$

$$
\mathrm{P} \subseteq \mathrm{FPT} \subseteq \mathrm{~W}[1] \subseteq \mathrm{W}[2] \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{W}[P] \subseteq \mathrm{XP}
$$

Known: If $\mathrm{FPT}=\mathrm{W}[1]$, then the Exponential Time Hypothesis fails, i.e. 3 -SAT can be solved in time $2^{o(n)}$.

### 3.1 FPT Algorithm for Vertex Cover

## Vertex Cover

```
Vertex Cover (VC)
    Input: \(\quad\) A graph \(G=(V, E)\) on \(n\) vertices, an integer \(k\)
    Parameter: \(k\)
    Question: Is there a set of vertices \(C \subseteq V\) of size at most \(k\) such that every edge has at least one endpoint
    in \(C\) ?
```



Algorithm vc1 $(G, k)$;

```
if \(E=\emptyset\) then // all edges are covered
    return Yes
else if \(k=0\) then // we cannot select any vertex
    return No
else
    Select an edge \(u v \in E\);
    return \(\operatorname{vc} 1(G-u, k-1) \vee \operatorname{vc1}(G-v, k-1)\)
```


### 3.2 Algorithms for Vertex Cover

## Brute Force Algorithms

- $2^{n} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ not FPT
- $n^{k} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ not FPT


## An FPT Algorithm

## Running Time Analysis

- Let us look at an arbitrary execution of the algorithm.
- Recursive calls form a search tree $T$
- with depth $\leq k$
- where each node has $\leq 2$ children
- $\Rightarrow T$ has $\leq 2^{k}$ leafs and $\leq 2^{k}-1$ internal nodes
- at each node the algorithm spends time $n^{O(1)}$
- The running time is $O^{*}\left(2^{k}\right)$


## A faster FPT Algorithm

Algorithm vc\& $(G, k)$;

```
if E=\emptyset then // all edges are covered
        return Yes
else if k=0 then // we used too many vertices
        return No
    else if }\Delta(G)\leq2\mathrm{ then // G has maximum degree }\leq
        Solve the problem in polynomial time;
    else
        Select a vertex v of maximum degree;
        return vc2(G-v,k-1)\vee vc2(G-N[v],k-d(v))
```


## Exercise

Show that VC can be solved in polynomial time for graphs of maxmium degree at most 2 .

## Solution Idea

Show that VC can be solved in polynomial time for graphs of maximum degree at most 2 .

- A graph of maximum degree at most 2 is a disjoint union of paths and cycles
- Denote by $v c_{o p t}(G)$ the size of a smallest vertex cover of $G$

Lemma 2. For a path $P_{k}$ on $k \geq 1$ vertices, vcopt $\left(P_{k}\right)=\lceil(k-1) / 2\rceil$.
Proof sketch. By induction on $k$. Base cases: check for $k=1$ and $k=2$. Induction: Let $k \geq 3$ and assume the lemma is true for $P_{k^{\prime}}$ for all $k^{\prime}, 1 \leq k^{\prime}<k$. One can prove that $v c_{o p t}\left(P_{k}\right)=1+v c_{o p t}\left(P_{k-2}\right)=1+\lceil(k-3) / 2\rceil=$ $\lceil(k-1) / 2\rceil$.

- For a cycle $C_{k}$ on $k \geq 3$ vertices, $v c_{o p t}\left(C_{k}\right)=1+v c_{o p t}\left(P_{k-1}\right)=\lceil k / 2\rceil$.


## Running time analysis of vc2

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(k) & \leq T(k-1)+T(k-3) \\
x^{k} & \leq x^{k-1}+x^{k-3} \\
x^{3} & -x^{2}-1=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Positive real root of this equation: $x \approx 1.4655 \ldots$
- Running time: $1.4656^{k} \cdot n^{O(1)}$


## 4 Further Reading
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