1b. Kernelization

COMP6741: Parameterized and Exact Computation

Serge Gaspers¹²

¹School of Computer Science and Engineering, UNSW Sydney, Asutralia ²Decision Sciences, Data61, CSIRO, Australia

Semester 2, 2018

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm
- 2 Kernelization algorithms
- 3 A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm

2 Kernelization algorithms

3 A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER

- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

A vertex cover of a graph G = (V, E) is a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V$ such that for each edge $\{u, v\} \in E$, we have $u \in S$ or $v \in S$.

Vertex Cover	
A graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k	
k	
Does G have a vertex cover of size at most k ?	





Is this a YES-instance for VERTEX COVER? (Is there $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \le 4$, such that $\forall uv \in E, u \in S$ or $v \in S$?) Exercise 2



Outline

Vertex Cover Simplification rules

- Preprocessing algorithm
- 2 Kernelization algorithms
- 3 A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

Simplification rules for **VERTEX** COVER

(Degree-0)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) = 0$, then set $G \leftarrow G - v$.

Simplification rules for VERTEX COVER

(Degree-0)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) = 0$, then set $G \leftarrow G - v$.

Proving correctness. A simplification rule is sound if for every instance, it produces an equivalent instance. Two instances I, I' are equivalent if they are both YES-instances or they are both No-instances.

Lemma 1

(Degree-0) is sound.

Simplification rules for $\operatorname{Vertex}\,\operatorname{Cover}$

(Degree-0)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) = 0$, then set $G \leftarrow G - v$.

Proving correctness. A simplification rule is sound if for every instance, it produces an equivalent instance. Two instances I, I' are equivalent if they are both YES-instances or they are both No-instances.

Lemma 1

(Degree-0) is sound.

Proof.

First, suppose (G - v, k) is a YES-instance. Let S be a vertex cover for G - v of size at most k. Then, S is also a vertex cover for G since no edge of G is incident to v. Thus, (G, k) is a YES-instance. Now, suppose (G - v, k) is a NO-instance. For the sake of contradiction, assume (G, k) is a YES-instance. Let S be a vertex cover for G of size at most k. But then, $S \setminus \{v\}$ is a vertex cover of size at most k for G - v; a contradiction.

Simplification rules for VERTEX COVER

(Degree-1)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) = 1$, then set $G \leftarrow G - N_G[v]$ and $k \leftarrow k - 1$.

Simplification rules for VERTEX COVER

(Degree-1)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) = 1$, then set $G \leftarrow G - N_G[v]$ and $k \leftarrow k - 1$.

Lemma 1

(Degree-1) is sound.

Proof.

Let u be the neighbor of v in G. Thus, $N_G[v] = \{u, v\}$. If S is a vertex cover of G of size at most k, then $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ is a vertex cover of $G - N_G[v]$ of size at most k - 1, because $u \in S$ or $v \in S$. If S' is a vertex cover of $G - N_G[v]$ of size at most k - 1, then $S' \cup \{u\}$ is a vertex cover of G of size at most k, since all edges that are in G but not in $G - N_G[v]$ are incident to v. (Large Degree)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) > k$, then set $G \leftarrow G - v$ and $k \leftarrow k - 1$.

(Large Degree)

If $\exists v \in V$ such that $d_G(v) > k$, then set $G \leftarrow G - v$ and $k \leftarrow k - 1$.

Lemma 1

(Large Degree) is sound.

Proof.

Let S be a vertex cover of G of size at most k. If $v \notin S$, then $N_G(v) \subseteq S$, contradicting that $|S| \leq k$.

Simplification rules for **VERTEX** COVER

(Number of Edges)

If $d_G(v) \leq k$ for each $v \in V$ and $|E| > k^2$ then return No

(Number of Edges)

If $d_G(v) \leq k$ for each $v \in V$ and $|E| > k^2$ then return No

Lemma 1

(Number of Edges) is sound.

Proof.

Assume $d_G(v) \leq k$ for each $v \in V$ and $|E| > k^2$. Suppose $S \subseteq V$, $|S| \leq k$, is a vertex cover of G. We have that S covers at most k^2 edges. However, $|E| \geq k^2 + 1$. Thus, S is not a vertex cover of G.

Outline



- Preprocessing algorithm
- 2 Kernelization algorithms
- **3** A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability
- 6 Further Reading

```
VC-preprocess
Input: A graph G and an integer k.
Output: A graph G' and an integer k' such that G has a vertex cover of size
          at most k if and only if G' has a vertex cover of size at most k'.
G' \leftarrow G
k' \leftarrow k
repeat
    Execute simplification rules (Degree-0), (Degree-1), (Large Degree), and
     (Number of Edges) for (G', k')
until no simplification rule applies
return (G', k')
```

- How effective is VC-preprocess?
- We would like to study preprocessing algorithms mathematically and quantify their effectiveness.

• Say that a preprocessing algorithm for a problem Π is nice if it runs in polynomial time and for each instance for Π, it returns an instance for Π that is strictly smaller.

- Say that a preprocessing algorithm for a problem II is nice if it runs in polynomial time and for each instance for II, it returns an instance for II that is strictly smaller.
- $\bullet\,\rightarrow$ executing it a linear number of times reduces the instance to a single bit
- $\bullet \rightarrow$ such an algorithm would solve Π in polynomial time
- For NP-hard problems this is not possible unless $\mathsf{P}=\mathsf{NP}$
- We need a different measure of effectiveness

- We will measure the effectiveness in terms of the parameter
- How large is the resulting instance in terms of the parameter?

Lemma 2

For any instance (G, k) for VERTEX COVER, VC-preprocess produces an equivalent instance (G', k') of size $O(k^2)$.

Proof.

Since all simplification rules are sound, (G = (V, E), k) and (G' = (V', E'), k') are equivalent. By (Number of Edges), $|E'| \le (k')^2 \le k^2$. By (Degree-0) and (Degree-1), each vertex in V' has degree at least 2 in G'. Since $\sum_{v \in V'} d_{G'}(v) = 2|E'| \le 2k^2$, this implies that $|V'| \le k^2$.

Thus, $|V'| + |E'| \subseteq O(k^2)$.

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm

2 Kernelization algorithms

- 3 A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

Definition 3

A kernelization for a parameterized problem Π is a **polynomial time** algorithm, which, for any instance I of Π with parameter k, produces an **equivalent** instance I' of Π with parameter k' such that $|I'| \leq f(k)$ and $k' \leq f(k)$ for a computable function f. We refer to the function f as the size of the kernel.

Note: We do not formally require that $k' \leq k$, but this will be the case for many kernelizations.

Theorem 4

VC-preprocess is a $O(k^2)$ kernelization for VERTEX COVER.

Can we obtain a kernel with fewer vertices?

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm

2 Kernelization algorithms

3 A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER

- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

The VERTEX COVER problem can be written as an Integer Linear Program (ILP). For an instance (G = (V, E), k) for VERTEX COVER with $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, create a variable x_i for each vertex v_i , $1 \le i \le n$. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.



Then, (G, k) is a YES-instance iff the objective value of $ILP_{VC}(G)$ is at most k.



Note: the value of an optimal solution for the Linear Program $LP_{VC}(G)$ is at most the value of an optimal solution for $ILP_{VC}(G)$

Properties of LP optimal solution

• Let $\alpha: X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be an optimal solution for $\mathsf{LP}_{\mathsf{VC}}(G)$. Let

$$V_{-} = \{v_i : \alpha(x_i) < 1/2\}$$

$$V_{1/2} = \{v_i : \alpha(x_i) = 1/2\}$$

$$V_{+} = \{v_i : \alpha(x_i) > 1/2\}$$

Properties of LP optimal solution

• Let $\alpha: X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be an optimal solution for $LP_{VC}(G)$. Let

$$V_{-} = \{ v_i : \alpha(x_i) < 1/2 \}$$

$$V_{1/2} = \{ v_i : \alpha(x_i) = 1/2 \}$$

$$V_{+} = \{ v_i : \alpha(x_i) > 1/2 \}$$

Lemma 5

For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we have that $\alpha(x_i) \leq 1$.

Lemma 6

V_ is an independent set.

Lemma 7

 $N_G(V_-) = V_+.$

Lemma 8

For each $S \subseteq V_+$ we have that $|S| \leq |N_G(S) \cap V_-|$.

Proof.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose there is a set $S \subseteq V_+$ such that $|S| > |N_G(S) \cap V_-|$. Let $\epsilon = \min_{v_i \in S} \{\alpha(x_i) - 1/2\}$ and $\alpha' : X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ s.t.

$$\alpha'(x_i) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x_i) & \text{if } v_i \notin S \cup (N_G(S) + V_-) \\ \alpha(x_i) - \epsilon & \text{if } v_i \in S \\ \alpha(x_i) + \epsilon & \text{if } v_i \in N_G(S) \cap V_- \end{cases}$$

Note that α' is an improved solution for LP_{VC}(G), contradicting that α is optimal.

Properties of LP optimal solution III

Theorem 9 (Hall's marriage theorem)

A bipartite graph $G = (V \uplus U, E)$ has a matching saturating $S \subseteq V$

\Leftrightarrow

for every subset $W \subseteq S$ we have $|W| \leq |N_G(W)|$.¹

¹A matching M in a graph G is a set of edges such that no two edges in M have a common endpoint. A matching saturates a set of vertices S if each vertex in S is an end point of an edge in M.

Properties of LP optimal solution III

Theorem 9 (Hall's marriage theorem)

A bipartite graph $G = (V \uplus U, E)$ has a matching saturating $S \subseteq V$

\Leftrightarrow

for every subset $W \subseteq S$ we have $|W| \leq |N_G(W)|$.¹

Consider the bipartite graph $B = (V_- \uplus V_+, \{\{u, v\} \in E : u \in V_-, v \in V_+\}).$

Lemma 10

There exists a matching M in B of size $|V_+|$.

Proof.

The lemma follows from the previous lemma and Hall's marriage theorem.

¹A matching M in a graph G is a set of edges such that no two edges in M have a common endpoint. A matching saturates a set of vertices S if each vertex in S is an end point of an edge in M.

Definition 11 (Crown Decomposition)

A crown decomposition (C, H, B) of a graph G = (V, E) is a partition of V into sets C, H, and B such that

- the crown C is a non-empty independent set,
- the head $H = N_G(C)$,
- the body $B = V \setminus (C \cup H)$, and
- there is a matching of size |H| in $G[H \cup C]$.

By the previous lemmas, we obtain a crown decomposition $(V_-, V_+, V_{1/2})$ of G if $V_- \neq \emptyset$.

Crown Decomposition: Examples


Crown Decomposition: Examples



crown decomposition $(\{a, e, g\}, \{b, d, f\}, \{c\})$

has no crown decomposition

Lemma 12

Suppose that G = (V, E) has a crown decomposition (C, H, B). Then,

 $\textit{vc}(G) \leq k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \textit{vc}(G[B]) \leq k - |H|,$

where vc(G) denotes the size of the smallest vertex cover of G.

Lemma 12

Suppose that G = (V, E) has a crown decomposition (C, H, B). Then,

 $\textit{vc}(G) \leq k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \textit{vc}(G[B]) \leq k - |H|,$

where vc(G) denotes the size of the smallest vertex cover of G.

Proof.

(⇒): Let S be a vertex cover of G with $|S| \le k$. Since S contains at least one vertex for each edge of a matching, $|S \cap (C \cup H)| \ge |H|$. Therefore, $S \cap B$ is a vertex cover for G[B] of size at most k - |H|. (⇐): Let S be a vertex cover of G[B] with $|S| \le k - |H|$. Then, $S \cup H$ is a vertex cover of G of size at most k, since each edge that is in G but not in G' is incident to a vertex in H.

Corollary 13 ([Nemhauser, Trotter, 1974])

There exists a smallest vertex cover S of G such that $S \cap V_{-} = \emptyset$ and $V_{+} \subseteq S$.

Crown reduction

(Crown Reduction)

If solving LP_{VC}(G) gives an optimal solution with $V_- \neq \emptyset$, then return $(G - (V_- \cup V_+), k - |V_+|).$

Crown reduction

(Crown Reduction)

If solving LP_{VC}(G) gives an optimal solution with $V_- \neq \emptyset$, then return $(G - (V_- \cup V_+), k - |V_+|).$

(Number of Vertices)

If solving $LP_{VC}(G)$ gives an optimal solution with $V_{-} = \emptyset$ and |V| > 2k, then return No.

Crown reduction

(Crown Reduction)

If solving $LP_{VC}(G)$ gives an optimal solution with $V_{-} \neq \emptyset$, then return $(G - (V_{-} \cup V_{+}), k - |V_{+}|)$.

(Number of Vertices)

If solving $LP_{VC}(G)$ gives an optimal solution with $V_{-} = \emptyset$ and |V| > 2k, then return No.

Lemma 14

(Crown Reduction) and (Number of Vertices) are sound.

Proof.

(Crown Reduction) is sound by previous Lemmas. Let α be an optimal solution for $LP_{VC}(G)$ and suppose $V_{-} = \emptyset$. The value of this solution is at least |V|/2. Thus, the value of an optimal solution for $ILP_{VC}(G)$ is at least |V|/2. Since G has no vertex cover of size less than |V|/2, we have a No-instance if k < |V|/2.

S. Gaspers (UNSW)

Theorem 15

VERTEX COVER has a kernel with 2k vertices and $O(k^2)$ edges.

This is the smallest known kernel for VERTEX COVER. See http://fpt.wikidot.com/fpt-races for the current smallest kernels for various problems.

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm
- 2 Kernelization algorithms
- 3 A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

Recall:

Definition 16 (Crown Decomposition)

A crown decomposition (C, H, B) of a graph G = (V, E) is a partition of V into sets C, H, and B such that

- the crown C is a non-empty independent set,
- the head $H = N_G(C)$,
- the body $B = V \setminus (C \cup H)$, and
- there is a matching of size |H| in $G[H \cup C]$.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

To prove the lemma, we need Kőnig's Theorem

Theorem 18 ([Kőnig, 1916])

In every bipartite graph the size of a maximum matching is equal to the size of a minimum vertex cover.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k + 1$, we are done.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k + 1$, we are done. Note that $I := V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set with $\ge k + 1$ vertices.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k+1$, we are done. Note that $I := V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set with $\ge k+1$ vertices. Consider the bipartite graph B formed by edges with one endpoint in V(M) and the other in I.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k+1$, we are done. Note that $I := V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set with $\ge k+1$ vertices. Consider the bipartite graph B formed by edges with one endpoint in V(M) and the other in I.

Compute a minimum vertex cover X and a maximum matching M' of B.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k + 1$, we are done. Note that $I := V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set with $\ge k + 1$ vertices. Consider the bipartite graph B formed by edges with one endpoint in V(M) and the other in I. Compute a minimum vertex cover X and a maximum matching M' of B.

We know: $|X| = |M'| \le |M| \le k$. Hence, $X \cap V(M) \ne \emptyset$.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k + 1$, we are done. Note that $I := V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set with $\ge k + 1$ vertices. Consider the bipartite graph B formed by edges with one endpoint in V(M) and the other in I. Compute a minimum vertex cover X and a maximum matching M' of B. We know: $|X| = |M'| \le |M| \le k$. Hence, $X \cap V(M) \ne \emptyset$. Let $M^* = \{e \in M' : e \cap (X \cap V(M)) \ne \emptyset\}$.

Lemma 17 (Crown Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph without isolated vertices and with $|V| \ge 3k + 1$. There is a polynomial time algorithm that either

- finds a matching of size k + 1 in G, or
- finds a crown decomposition of G.

Proof.

Compute a maximum matching M of G. If $|M| \ge k + 1$, we are done. Note that $I := V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set with $\ge k + 1$ vertices. Consider the bipartite graph B formed by edges with one endpoint in V(M) and the other in I. Compute a minimum vertex cover X and a maximum matching M' of B. We know: $|X| = |M'| \le |M| \le k$. Hence, $X \cap V(M) \ne \emptyset$. Let $M^* = \{e \in M' : e \cap (X \cap V(M)) \ne \emptyset\}$. We obtain a crown decomposition with crown $C = V(M^*) \cap I$ and head $H = X \cap V(M) = X \cap V(M^*)$.

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm
- 2 Kernelization algorithms
- **3** A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

Theorem 18

Let Π be a decidable parameterized problem. Π has a kernelization algorithm $\Leftrightarrow \Pi$ is FPT.

Theorem 18

Let Π be a decidable parameterized problem. Π has a kernelization algorithm $\Leftrightarrow \Pi$ is FPT.

Proof.

 $(\Rightarrow):$ An FPT algorithm is obtained by first running the kernelization, and then any brute-force algorithm on the resulting instance.

(\Leftarrow): Let A be an FPT algorithm for Π with running time $O(f(k)n^c)$.

If f(k) < n, then A has running time $O(n^{c+1})$. In this case, the kernelization algorithm runs A and returns a trivial YES- or NO-instance depending on the answer of A.

Otherwise, $f(k) \ge n$. In this case, the kernelization algorithm outputs the input instance.

- ... we can use any algorithm to compute an actual solution.
- Brute-force, faster exponential-time algorithms, parameterized algorithms, often also approximation algorithms

- A parameterized problem may not have a kernelization algorithm
 - Example, $COLORING^2$ parameterized by k has no kernelization algorithm unless P = NP.
 - $\bullet~$ A kernelization would lead to a polynomial time algorithm for the NP-complete 3-COLORING problem
- Kernelization algorithms lead to FPT algorithms ...
- ... FPT algorithms lead to kernels

²Can one color the vertices of an input graph G with k colors such that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color?

Outline

Vertex Cover

- Simplification rules
- Preprocessing algorithm
- 2 Kernelization algorithms
- **3** A smaller kernel for VERTEX COVER
- 4 More on Crown Decompositions
- 5 Kernels and Fixed-parameter tractability

6 Further Reading

- Chapter 2, Kernelization in
- Marek Cygan, Fedor V. Fomin, Łukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, MichałPilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. Parameterized Algorithms. Springer, 2015.
- Chapter 4, *Kernelization* in Rodney G. Downey and Michael R. Fellows. Fundamentals of Parameterized Complexity. Springer, 2013.
- Chapter 7, *Data Reduction and Problem Kernels* in Rolf Niedermeier. Invitation to Fixed Parameter Algorithms. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- Chapter 9, *Kernelization and Linear Programming Techniques* in Jörg Flum and Martin Grohe. Parameterized Complexity Theory. Springer, 2006.