

COMP4418: Knowledge Representation—Solutions to Exercise Set 2 First-Order Logic

1. (i) All birds fly
(If an object x is a bird, then it flies.)
- (ii) Everyone has a mother
- (iii) There is someone who is everyone's mother
2. (i) $\forall x.(cat(x) \rightarrow mammal(x))$
- (ii) $\neg\exists x.(cat(x) \wedge reptile(x))$
or, equivalently, $\forall x.(cat(x) \rightarrow \neg reptile(x))$
- (iii) $\forall x.\exists y.(computer_scientist(x) \rightarrow likes(x, y))$
3. (i) $CNF(\forall x.(bird(x) \rightarrow flies(x)))$
 $\equiv \forall x.(\neg bird(x) \vee flies(x))$ (Remove \rightarrow)
 $\equiv \neg bird(x) \vee flies(x)$ (Drop \forall)
- (ii) $CNF(\exists x.\forall y.\forall z.(person(x) \wedge ((likes(x, y) \wedge yneqz) \rightarrow \neg likes(x, z))))$
 $\equiv \exists x.\forall y.\forall z.(person(x) \wedge (\neg(likes(x, y) \wedge y \neq z) \vee \neg likes(x, z)))$ (Remove \rightarrow)
 $\equiv \exists x.\forall y.\forall z.(person(x) \wedge (\neg likes(x, y) \vee y = z \vee \neg likes(x, z)))$ (De Morgan)
- $\equiv \forall y.\forall z.(person(x) \wedge (\neg likes(c, y) \vee y = z \vee \neg likes(c, z)))$ (Skolemisation— c is a constant)
- $\equiv person(c) \wedge (\neg likes(c, y) \vee y = z \vee \neg likes(c, z))$ (Drop \forall)
4. (i) $CNF(\forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)))$
 $\equiv \forall x.(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x))$ (Remove \rightarrow)
 $\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Drop \forall)
- $CNF(\neg\forall x.(\neg Q(y) \rightarrow \neg P(y)))$
 $\equiv \neg\forall x.(\neg\neg Q(y) \vee \neg P(y))$ (Remove \rightarrow)
 $\equiv \exists x.\neg(\neg\neg Q(y) \vee \neg P(y))$ (De Morgan)
- $\equiv \exists x.\neg(Q(y) \vee \neg P(y))$ (Double Negation)
- $\equiv \exists x.(\neg Q(y) \wedge \neg\neg P(y))$ (De Morgan)
- $\equiv \exists x.(\neg Q(y) \wedge P(y))$ (Double Negation)
- $\equiv \neg Q(c) \wedge P(c)$ (Skolemisation)

Proof:

1. $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q(c)$ (Negated Conclusion)
3. $P(c)$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. $\neg P(c) \vee Q(c)$ (1. $\{x/c\}$)
5. $\neg P(c)$ 2, 4 Resolution
6. \square 3, 5 Resloution

(ii) (Works exactly as in (i).)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \\ & \equiv \forall x.(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\ & \equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\neg \forall x.(\neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg P(x))) \\ & \equiv \neg \forall x.(\neg \neg Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\ & \equiv \neg \forall x.(Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\ & \equiv \exists x.\neg(Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ & \equiv \exists x.(\neg Q(x) \wedge \neg \neg P(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ & \equiv \exists x.(\neg Q(x) \wedge P(x)) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\ & \equiv \neg Q(c) \wedge \neg P(c) \text{ (Skolemisation)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q(c)$ (Negated Conclusion)
3. $P(c)$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. $\neg P(c) \vee Q(c)$ (1. $\{x/c\}$)
5. $\neg P(c)$ (2, 4 Resolution)
6. \square (3, 5 Resolution)

(iii) $\text{CNF}(\forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)))$
 $\equiv \forall x.(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x))$ (Remove \rightarrow)
 $\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Drop \forall)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(P(a)) \\ & \equiv P(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\neg Q(a)) \\ & \equiv \neg Q(a) \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Hypothesis)
2. $P(a)$ (Hypothesis)
3. $\neg Q(a)$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. $\neg P(a) \vee Q(a)$ (1. $\{x/a\}$)
5. $\neg Q(a)$ (2, 4 Resolution)
6. \square (3, 5 Resolution)

(iv) $\text{CNF}(\forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)))$
 $\equiv \forall x.(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x))$ (Remove \rightarrow)
 $\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Drop \forall)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\exists x.P(x)) \\ & \equiv P(a) \text{ (Skolemisation)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\neg \exists x.Q(x)) \\ & \equiv \forall x.\neg Q(x) \text{ (De Morgan)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \neg Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall \text{)}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Hypothesis)
2. $P(a)$ (Hypothesis)
3. $\neg Q(y)$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. $\neg P(a) \vee Q(a)$ (1. $\{x/a\}$)
5. $Q(a)$ (2, 4 Resolution)
6. $\neg Q(a)$ (3. $\{y/a\}$)
7. \square (5, 6 Resolution)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v) CNF}(\forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \\ \equiv \forall x.(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow \text{)} \\ \equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CNF}(\forall x.(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))) \\ \equiv \forall x.(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow \text{)} \\ \equiv \neg Q(x) \vee R(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CNF}(\neg \forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow R(x))) \\ \equiv \neg \forall x.(\neg P(x) \vee R(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow \text{)} \\ \equiv \exists x.(\neg(\neg P(x) \vee R(x))) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ \equiv \exists x.(\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg R(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ \equiv \exists x.(P(x) \wedge \neg R(x)) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\ \equiv P(c) \wedge \neg R(c) \text{ (Skolemisation)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$ (Hypothesis)
2. $\neg Q(y) \vee R(y)$ (Hypothesis)
3. $P(c)$ (Negated Conclusion)
4. $\neg R(c)$ (Negated Conclusion)
5. $\neg P(c) \vee Q(c)$ (1. $\{x/c\}$)
6. $\neg Q(c) \vee R(c)$ (2. $\{y/c\}$)
7. $\neg P(c) \vee R(c)$ (5, 6 Resolution)
8. $R(c)$ (3, 7 Resolution)
9. \square (4, 8 Resolution)

5. (i) (A) $\exists x.\forall y.(cs(x) \wedge likes(x, y))$
 (B) $os(Linux)$
 (C) $\exists z.likes(z, Linux)$
- (ii) (A) $cs(c) \wedge likes(c, y)$ (Skolemisation and Drop \forall)
 (B) $os(Linux)$
 (C) $\neg likes(z, Linux)$ (De Morgan and Drop \forall)

1. $cs(c)$ (Hypothesis A)
 2. $likes(c, y)$ (Hypothesis A)
 3. $os(Linux)$ (Hypothesis B)
- (iii)
4. $\neg likes(z, Linux)$ (Negated Consequence)
 5. $likes(c, Linux)$ (2. $\{y/Linux\}$)
 6. $\neg likes(c, Linux)$ (4. $\{z/c\}$)
 7. \square 5, 6 Resolution
- (iv) Yes. $A, B, \neg C$ in (ii) are Horn clauses so there must be an SLD resolution of the empty clause if there is a resolution of the empty clause and there is as we have seen in (ii). In fact, the resolution in (iii) is an SLD resolution of the empty clause.
- (v) $A, B \vdash C$