

COMP9444 Neural Networks and Deep Learning

14: Adversarial Training and GANs

Alan Blair, UNSW, 2017-18




- Artist-Critic Co-Evolution
- ▶ Co-Evolution Paradigms
- ▶ Blind Watchmaker (GP Artist, Human Critic)
- Evolutonary Art (GP Artist, GP or NN Critic)
- ▶ Generative Adversarial Networks (CNN Artist, CNN Critic)



Artist-Critic Co-Evolution

Generated Images



Real Images

- ▶ Critic is rewarded for distinguishing real images from those generated by the artist.
- Artist is rewarded for fooling the critic into thinking that generated images are real.



Co-Evolution Paradigms

Artist	Critic	Method	Reference
Biomorph	Human	Blind Watchmaker	(Dawkins, 1986)
GP	Human	Blind Watchmaker	(Sims, 1991)
CPPN	Human	PicBreeder	(Secretan, 2011)
CA	Human	EvoEco	(Kowaliw, 2012)
GP	SOM	Artificial Creativity	(Saunders, 2001)
Photo	NN	Computational Aesthetics	(Datta, 2006)
GP	NN	Computational Aesthetics	(Machado, 2008)
Agents	NN	Evolutionary Art	(Greenfield, 2009)
GP	NN	Aesthetic Learning	(Li & Hu, 2010)
HERCL	HERCL	Co-Evolving Line Drawings	(Vickers, 2017)
HERCL	DCNN	HERCL Function/CNN	(Soderlund, 2018)
DCNN	DCNN	Generative Adversarial Nets	(Goodfellow, 2014)
DCNN	DCNN	Plug & Play Generative Nets	(Nguyen, 2016)

, 4/23



Blind Watchmaker (Dawkins, 1986)

File Edit Album Operation View Mutations Pedigree Breed Drift Engineering Hopeful Monster Initialize Fossil Record Play Back Fassils Becording Fassils Triangle

- ▶ the Human is presented with 15 images
- the chosen individual is used to breed the next generation




Blind Watchmaker (Sims, 1991)



- Artist = Genetic Program (GP)
 - used as function to compute R,G,B values for each pixel x, y
- ▶ Critic = Human




Blind Watchmaker Biomorphs



5/23 ,

PicBreeder Examples



6/23





- Artist = Convolutional Pattern Producing Neural Network (CPPN)
- ▶ Critic = Human
- interactive Web site (picbreeder.org) where you can choose existing individual and use it for further breeding
- ▶ Blind Watchmaker paradigm is cool, but it may require a lot of work from the Human
- ▶ Can the Human be replaced by an automated Critic?



Generative Adversarial Networks

Generator (Artist) G_{θ} and Discriminator (Critic) D_{ψ} are both Deep Convolutional Neural Networks.

Generator $G_{\theta}: z \mapsto x$, with parameters θ , generates an image x from latent variables z (sampled from a Normal distribution).

Discriminator $D_{\psi}: x \mapsto D_{\psi}(x) \in (0,1)$, with parameters ψ , takes an image x and estimates the probability of the image being real.


Generator and Discriminator play a 2-player zero-sum game to compute:

$$\min_{ heta} \max_{\psi} \Bigl(\mathsf{E}_{x \sim p_{ ext{data}}} ig[\log D_{\psi}(x) ig] + \mathsf{E}_{z \sim p_{ ext{model}}} ig[\log ig(1 - D_{\psi}(\mathcal{G}_{ heta}(z)) ig) ig] \Bigr)$$

Discriminator tries to maximize the bracketed expression, Generator tries to minimize it.



Image Generating Paradigms



23 ,



Generative Adversarial Networks

Alternate between:

Gradient ascent on Discriminator:

$$\max_{\psi} \Bigl(\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \bigl[\log D_{\psi}(\mathsf{x}) \bigr] + \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{z} \sim p_{\text{model}}} \bigl[\log \bigl(1 - D_{\psi}(\mathit{G}_{\theta}(\mathsf{z})) \bigr) \bigr] \Bigr)$$

10/23

Gradient descent on Generator, using:

$$\min_{ heta} \; \mathbf{\mathsf{E}}_{z \sim p_{\mathrm{model}}} igl[\log igl(1 - D_{\psi}(\mathit{G}_{ heta}(z)) igr) igr]$$

11/23 , 12/23





'Alternate between:

Gradient ascent on Discriminator:

$$\max_{\psi} \Bigl(\mathbf{E}_{x \sim p_{ ext{data}}} igl[\log D_{\psi}(x) igr] + \mathbf{E}_{z \sim p_{ ext{model}}} igl[\log igl(1 - D_{\psi}(\mathcal{G}_{ heta}(z)) igr) igr] \Bigr)$$

Gradient descent on Generator, using:

$$-\min_{ heta} \mathbf{E}_{z \sim p_{\mathrm{model}}} \left[\log \left(1 - D_{\psi}(G_{ heta}(z)) \right) \right]$$

This formula puts too much emphasis on images that are correctly classified. Better to do gradient ascent on Generator, using:

$$\max_{\theta} \mathbf{E}_{z \sim p_{\text{model}}} \left[\log \left(D_{\psi}(G_{\theta}(z)) \right) \right]$$

This puts more emphasis on the images that are wrongly classified.



Generative Adversarial Networks

repeat:

for k steps do

sample minibatch of m latent samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from p(z) sample minibatch of m training items $\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\}$ update Discriminator by gradient ascent on ψ :

$$\nabla_{\psi} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\log D_{\psi}(x^{(i)}) + \log \left(1 - D_{\psi}(G_{\theta}(z^{(i)}))\right) \right]$$

end for

sample minibatch of m latent samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from p(z) update Generator by gradient ascent on θ :

$$\nabla_{\theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log (D_{\psi}(G_{\theta}(z^{(i)})))$$

end repeat



Generative Adversarial Networks

GAN properties:

- one network aims to produces the full range of images x, with different values for the latent variables z
- differentials are backpropagated through the Discriminator network and into the Generator network
- compared to previous approaches, the images produced are much more realistic!


14/23



GAN Convolutional Architectures

- ightharpoonup normalize images to between -1 and +1
- replace pooling layers with:
 - strided convolutions (Discriminator)
 - fractional-strided convolutions (Generator)
- use BatchNorm in both Generator and Discriminator
- remove fully connected hidden layers for deeper architectures
- use tanh at output layer of Generator,
 ReLU activation in all other layers
- use LeakyReLU activation for all layers of Discriminator

5/23 , 16/23



Unsupervised Representation Learning with Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks (Radford et al., 2016)






17/23 ,

18/23




GAN Image Vector Arithmetic





GAN Image Vector Arithmetic



, 19/23 , 20



4

-

21/23

The GAN Zoo

- Like any coevolution, GANs can sometimes oscillate or get stuck in a mediocre stable state.
- **oscillation**: GAN trains for a long time, generating a variety of images, but quality fails to improve (compare IPD)
- mode collapse: Generator produces only a small subset of the desired range of images, or converges to a single image (with minor variations)

Methods for avoiding mode collapse:

- ▶ Conditioning Augmentation
- Minibatch Features (Fitness Sharing)
- Unrolled GANs

- ▶ Contex-Encoder for Image Inpainting
- ▶ Texture Synthesis with Patch-based GAN
- Conditional GAN
- ► Text-to-Image Synthesis
- StackGAN
- Patch-based Discriminator
- ▶ S²-GAN
- Style-GAN
- ▶ Plug-and-Play Generative Networks



GAN References

http://dl.ee.cuhk.edu.hk/slides/gan.pdf

cs231n.stanford.edu/slides/2017/cs231n_2017_lecture13.pdf

http://www.iangoodfellow.com/slides/2016-12-04-NIPS.pdf

https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.00005

22/23