

## COMP4418: Knowledge Representation—Solutions to Exercise Set 2 First-Order Logic

1. (i) All birds fly  
(If an object  $x$  is a bird, then it flies.)
- (ii) Everyone has a mother
- (iii) There is someone who is everyone's mother
2. (i)  $\forall x(Cat(x) \rightarrow Mammal(x))$
- (ii)  $\neg\exists x(Cat(x) \wedge Reptile(x))$   
or, equivalently,  $\forall x(Cat(x) \rightarrow \neg Reptile(x))$
- (iii)  $\forall x\exists y(ComputerScientist(x) \rightarrow OS(y) \wedge Likes(x, y))$
3. (i)  $CNF(\forall x(Bird(x) \rightarrow Flies(x)))$   
 $\equiv \forall x(\neg Bird(x) \vee Flies(x))$  (Remove  $\rightarrow$ )  
 $\equiv \neg Bird(x) \vee Flies(x)$  (Drop  $\forall$ )
- (ii)  $CNF(\exists x\forall y\forall z(Person(x) \wedge ((Likes(x, y) \wedge y \neq z) \rightarrow \neg Likes(x, z))))$   
 $\equiv \exists x\forall y\forall z(Person(x) \wedge (\neg(Likes(x, y) \wedge y \neq z) \vee \neg Likes(x, z)))$  (Remove  $\rightarrow$ )  
 $\equiv \exists x\forall y\forall z(Person(x) \wedge (\neg Likes(x, y) \vee y = z \vee \neg Likes(x, z)))$  (De Morgan)  
 $\equiv \forall y\forall z(Person(x) \wedge (\neg Likes(c, y) \vee y = z \vee \neg Likes(c, z)))$  (Skolemisation— $c$  is a constant)  
 $\equiv Person(c) \wedge (\neg Likes(c, y) \vee y = z \vee \neg Likes(c, z))$  (Drop  $\forall$ )
4. (i)  $CNF(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)))$   
 $\equiv \forall x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x))$  (Remove  $\rightarrow$ )  
 $\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$  (Drop  $\forall$ )

Proof:

1.  $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$  (Hypothesis)
2.  $\neg Q(c)$  (Negated Conclusion)
3.  $P(c)$  (Negated Conclusion)
4.  $\neg P(c) \vee Q(c)$  (1  $\{x/c\}$ )
5.  $\neg P(c)$  (2, 4 Resolution)
6.  $\square$  (3, 5 Resloution)

- (ii) (Works exactly as in (i).)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & CNF(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \\
 & \equiv \forall x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
 & \equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & CNF(\neg\forall x(\neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg P(x))) \\
 & \equiv \neg\forall x(\neg\neg Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
 & \equiv \neg\forall x(Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \text{ (Double Negation)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \exists x \neg(Q(x) \vee \neg P(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
&\equiv \exists x (\neg Q(x) \wedge \neg \neg P(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
&\equiv \exists x (\neg Q(x) \wedge P(x)) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\
&\equiv \neg Q(c) \wedge \neg P(c) \text{ (Skolemisation)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1.  $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$  (Hypothesis)
2.  $\neg Q(c)$  (Negated Conclusion)
3.  $P(c)$  (Negated Conclusion)
4.  $\neg P(c) \vee Q(c)$  (1  $\{x/c\}$ )
5.  $\neg P(c)$  (2, 4 Resolution)
6.  $\square$  (3, 5 Resolution)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii) CNF}(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \\
&\equiv \forall x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
&\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{CNF}(P(a)) \\
&\equiv P(a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{CNF}(\neg Q(a)) \\
&\equiv \neg Q(a)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1.  $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$  (Hypothesis)
2.  $P(a)$  (Hypothesis)
3.  $\neg Q(a)$  (Negated Conclusion)
4.  $\neg P(a) \vee Q(a)$  (1  $\{x/a\}$ )
5.  $\neg Q(a)$  (2, 4 Resolution)
6.  $\square$  (3, 5 Resolution)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iv) CNF}(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \\
&\equiv \forall x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow) \\
&\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{CNF}(\exists x P(x)) \\
&\equiv P(a) \text{ (Skolemisation)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{CNF}(\neg \exists x Q(x)) \\
&\equiv \forall x \neg Q(x) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\
&\equiv \neg Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1.  $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$  (Hypothesis)
2.  $P(a)$  (Hypothesis)
3.  $\neg Q(y)$  (Negated Conclusion)
4.  $\neg P(a) \vee Q(a)$  (1  $\{x/a\}$ )
5.  $Q(a)$  (2, 4 Resolution)
6.  $\neg Q(a)$  (3  $\{y/a\}$ )
7.  $\square$  (5, 6 Resolution)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(v) CNF}(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))) \\
&\equiv \forall x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \neg P(x) \vee Q(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall \text{)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))) \\ & \equiv \forall x(\neg Q(x) \vee R(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow \text{)} \\ & \equiv \neg Q(x) \vee R(x) \text{ (Drop } \forall \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CNF}(\neg \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow R(x))) \\ & \equiv \neg \forall x(\neg P(x) \vee R(x)) \text{ (Remove } \rightarrow \text{)} \\ & \equiv \exists x \neg(\neg P(x) \vee R(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ & \equiv \exists x(\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg R(x)) \text{ (De Morgan)} \\ & \equiv \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg R(x)) \text{ (Double Negation)} \\ & \equiv P(c) \wedge \neg R(c) \text{ (Skolemisation)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1.  $\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)$  (Hypothesis)
2.  $\neg Q(y) \vee R(y)$  (Hypothesis)
3.  $P(c)$  (Negated Conclusion)
4.  $\neg R(c)$  (Negated Conclusion)
5.  $\neg P(c) \vee Q(c)$  (1  $\{x/c\}$ )
6.  $\neg Q(c) \vee R(c)$  (2  $\{y/c\}$ )
7.  $\neg P(c) \vee R(c)$  (5, 6 Resolution)
8.  $R(c)$  (3, 7 Resolution)
9.  $\square$  (4, 8 Resolution)

5. (i) (A)  $\exists x \forall y (\text{ComputerScientist}(x) \wedge (\text{OS}(y) \rightarrow \text{Likes}(x, y)))$   
 (B)  $\text{OS}(\text{Linux})$   
 (C)  $\exists z \text{Likes}(z, \text{Linux})$
- (ii) (A)  $\text{ComputerScientist}(c) \wedge (\neg \text{OS}(y) \vee \text{Likes}(c, y))$  (Remove  $\rightarrow$ , Skolemisation, and Drop  $\forall$ )  
 (B)  $\text{OS}(\text{Linux})$   
 (C)  $\neg \text{Likes}(z, \text{Linux})$  (De Morgan and Drop  $\forall$ )
- (iii)
  1.  $\text{ComputerScientist}(c)$  (Hypothesis A)
  2.  $\neg \text{OS}(y) \vee \text{Likes}(c, y)$  (Hypothesis A)
  3.  $\text{OS}(\text{Linux})$  (Hypothesis B)
  4.  $\neg \text{Likes}(z, \text{Linux})$  (Negated Consequence)
  5.  $\neg \text{OS}(\text{Linux}) \vee \text{Likes}(c, \text{Linux})$  (2  $\{y/\text{Linux}\}$ )
  6.  $\neg \text{Likes}(c, \text{Linux})$  (4  $\{z/c\}$ )
  7.  $\text{Likes}(c, \text{Linux})$  (3, 5 Resolution)
  8.  $\square$  (6, 7 Resolution)
- (iv) Yes.  $A, B, \neg C$  in (ii) are Horn clauses so there must be an SLD resolution of the empty clause if there is a resolution of the empty clause and there is as we have seen in (ii). In fact, the resolution in (iii) is an SLD resolution of the empty clause.
- (v)  $A, B \models C$