

## COMP6713 – 2024 T1

### Group Project: Submission and Marking Guide

Deadline: 18<sup>th</sup> April 2024, 7:00pm Sydney time

Please read the instructions carefully. In case of queries, please email [aditya.joshi@unsw.edu.au](mailto:aditya.joshi@unsw.edu.au)

#### Instructions

##### A. Using Moodle to upload your projects

- Exactly one member of each team must use Moodle to upload the final group project file.
- Use Moodle to upload your project as one ZIP file.
- Please do not email part or whole of project files to any course team member.
- Do not upload fragments of your files across multiple people.
- Moodle has an upload limit of 200 MB.
- To include a file that is larger than the limit, you will need to upload it separately on OneDrive or similar, and provide a link that is accessible in the relevant file/directory.
- Any file shared using a link must not be updated in any way after the deadline and its timestamp must indicate the same.
- Ensure that all links are accessible.

##### B. Contents of your submissions

The name of your zip file must be the name of your team. Therefore, the filename for 'ClosedAI' will be 'ClosedAI.zip'. If your team name is too long, you may upload a shortened version of your name. It is your responsibility to ensure that the team name is identifiable by its filename.

Every zip file must contain files and directories with the following names:

- 1) **README:** The README is a file that contains the following information: the team name, project title, names and zIDs of all team members.
- 2) **CODE:** CODE is a directory.
  - a. It contains all the code, and may contain subdirectories.
  - b. The directory must not contain test input/output files, datasets or any intermediate code.
  - c. You must retain the outputs of the notebooks.
  - d. Ensure that you use relative paths.
- 3) **REPORT:** The REPORT is a file.
  - a. It must contain the complete scope table (parts A and D) with the last column 'Your project' filled in, based on what you have done in the project.
  - b. The report must contain the experiment setup (model, tools, methodology, as applicable) and results (inter-annotator agreement,

empirical evaluation, qualitative evaluation, screenshots of output, as applicable).

- 4) **PRESENTATION:** The presentation is a file that contains a URL to a video.
  - a. Due to Moodle size limit, you may not be able to upload the video as a file.
  - b. Make sure that the URL is accessible to the tutors without them asking for access permission. You may use OneDrive, GoogleDrive or similar, to host your video.
  - c. The presentation is expected to be 7-10 minutes long.
  - d. The recommended format of the presentation is a screenshare with a voiceover. This may be done by one or more team members.
  - e. The presentation must show the project *in action* – either as a notebook-based demo or a browser-based demo. Use your judgment to identify what is suitable for your project.
- 5) **INDIVIDUAL EFFORT:** The “INDIVIDUAL EFFORT” is a file that contains: (a) team name, (b) list of every member in the team, (c) Description of work done by every member. Overlapping text is discouraged. For example, even if two members worked on ‘model training’, individual contributions that highlight individual effort must be clearly stated.
- 6) (Optional) **MISC:** The MISC is a directory that contains any additional files that you may be using in your code. This will include dataset files. This directory is optional, and may be used.

### C. Evaluation

Each project will be evaluated by two assessors: (a) the course convener, and (b) one tutor *who is not your mentor*. Final marks will be the average of the two assessors under each heading.

The headings are:

1. Code-base: 10 marks
  - a. Code style: 1 mark
  - b. Code quality and readability: 3 marks
  - c. Are items claimed in the scope reflected in the code?: 4 marks
  - d. Does the notebook work without producing errors?: 2 marks
2. Report: 5 marks
  - a. Is the scope completed?: 1 mark
  - b. Is the scope adequate for total credits?: 2 marks
  - c. Are experiment details (architecture, model, etc.) described sufficiently?: 1 mark
  - d. Are results described sufficiently?: 1 mark
3. Presentation: 5 marks
  - a. Quality of presentation: 1 mark
  - b. Does the presentation show the architecture/methodology: 2 marks
  - c. Does the presentation include a demonstration – “the project in action”?: 2 marks
4. Individual effort: 5 marks
  - a. Has individual effort been described in sufficient detail?: 2 marks

- b. Does the description represent sufficient effort required for the project?:  
3 marks